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Dam Stability

Assessing the Performance of a GPS Monitoring System

David R. Rutledge, Steven Z. Meyerholtz, Neil E. Brown, and Cory S. Baldwin

A precise and modernized monitoring program is an important component of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers’ long-term risk-management plan for hydroelectric structures. Recent work at Libby Dam in Montana demonstrates that GPS deformation monitoring systems can accurately track displacements at critical points, making it an important asset in ensuring dam safety.

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers is responsible for investigating, developing, and maintaining the water and related environmental resources throughout the United States. In February 2002, the Corps deployed a GPS monitoring system at Libby Dam. Six GPS monitoring stations are located along the crest of the dam to measure horizontal and vertical motion. A GPS reference station is located on each side of the dam to provide differential correction information. Processing software collects raw measurements from all eight GPS stations and computes high-precision GPS solutions in real time.

GPS vs. Plumb-Line Data

Four of the GPS monitoring stations on the crest of the dam were installed coincident with existing gravity-based plumb lines in order to directly compare the horizontal readings from each measurement system. In this article we present the correlation between the GPS data and the plumb-line data, and discuss the formal process of evaluating GPS repeatability and accuracy.

Libby Dam is located on the Kootenai River in northwest Montana. Its construction formed Lake Koocanusa with a reservoir capacity of 715,000 hectare-meters. It is a straight axis concrete dam composed of 47 monoliths (MLs). The length of Libby Dam is 880 meters, and the structural height is 128.6 meters. The Corps of Engineers owns and manages the dam and actively monitors its performance. This careful monitoring effort is managed by engineers who continually analyze readings from the instrumentation deployed on the dam. Besides the GPS system, the instrumentation at Libby Dam includes plumb lines, joint meters, foundation deformation meters, extensometers, uplift pressure cells, inclinometers, concrete temperature meters, leakage measurements, and a laser alignment system.

Pilot Project

The GPS system was installed at Libby Dam to replace the existing laser alignment system. The laser equipment became increasingly difficult to maintain in the 1990s, and it became apparent that a replacement system was needed. After a careful evaluation of various options, engineers in Seattle decided to install an automated GPS system as part of a pilot project. Several of the GPS instruments were collocated with existing — and reliable — plumb lines so that the two measurement systems could be compared. The pilot installation of an automated GPS alignment system was selected for various reasons. One of the major concerns with the laser alignment survey was that the end points of the dam were assumed to be stable, when in actuality this might not be the case. Corps personnel were aware that any deformation of GPS receivers could be determined with much higher accuracies by using the signal’s carrier rather than its modulation. By differencing the phases simultaneously measured by a pair of receivers, essentially an interferometric technique, it was predicted that relative position accuracies equal to a small fraction of the carrier wavelength would be possible, although the instability of the receivers’ clocks would be an issue. That issue was dealt with by forming a second measurement difference: differencing between satellites. Carrier phase integer ambiguities also had to be dealt with by estimating them or eliminating them through a third differencing: differencing sequentially in time. And so by the early 1980s, the standard double and triple differencing carrier phase approaches to high-accuracy relative positioning were in use. Since then, carrier phase positioning has become a standard technique for both real-time and post-processed high accuracy positioning. In addition to many conventional applications, it has been used to detect slowly occurring or “silent” earthquakes, to control heavy machinery, and to monitor the displacements of engineered structures such as suspension bridges, tall buildings, and as discussed in this month’s column, dams.
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A precise and modernized monitoring program is an important component of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers’ long-term risk-management plan for hydroelectric structures. Recent work at Libby Dam in Montana demonstrates that GPS deformation monitoring systems can accurately track displacements at critical points, making it an important asset in ensuring dam safety.

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers is responsible for investigating, developing, and maintaining the water and related environmental resources throughout the United States. In February 2002, the Corps deployed a GPS monitoring system at Libby Dam. Six GPS monitoring stations are located along the crest of the dam to measure horizontal and vertical motion. A GPS reference station is located on one side of the dam to provide differential correction information. Processing software collects raw measurements from all eight GPS stations and computes high-precision GPS solutions in real time.

**GPS vs. Plumb-Line Data**

First, the GPS monitoring stations on the crest of the dam were installed coincident with existing gravity-based plumb lines in order to directly compare the horizontal readings from each measurement system. In this article we present the correlation between the GPS data and the plumb-line data, and discuss the formal process of evaluating GPS repeatability and accuracy.

Libby Dam is located on the Kootenai River in northwest Montana. Its construction formed Lake Koocanusa with a reservoir capacity of 715,000 hectare-meters. It is a straight axis concrete dam composed of 47 monoliths (MLs). The length of Libby Dam is 880 meters, and the structural height is 128.6 meters. The Corps of Engineers owns and manages the dam and actively monitors its performance. This careful monitoring effort is managed by engineers who continually analyze readings from the instrumentation deployed on the dam. Besides the GPS system, the instrumentation at Libby Dam includes plumb lines, joint meters, foundation deformation meters, extensometers, uplift pressure cells, inclinometers, concrete temperature meters, leakage measurements, and a laser alignment system.

**Pilot Project**

The GPS system was installed at Libby Dam to replace the existing laser alignment system. The laser equipment became increasingly difficult to maintain in the 1990s, and it became apparent that a replacement system was needed. After a careful evaluation of various options, engineers in Seattle decided to install an automated GPS system as part of a pilot project. Several of the GPS instruments were collocated with existing — and reliable — plumb lines so that the two measurement systems could be compared. The pilot installation of an automated GPS alignment system was selected for various reasons. One of the major concerns with the laser alignment survey was that the end points of the dam were assumed to be stable, when in actuality this might not be the case. Corps personnel were aware that any deformation of GPS receivers could be determined with much higher accuracies by using the signal’s carrier rather than its modulation. By differencing the phases simultaneously measured by a pair of receivers, essentially an interferometric technique, it was predicted that relative position accuracies equal to a small fraction of the carrier wavelength would be possible, although the instability of the receivers’ clocks would be an issue. That issue was dealt with by forming a second measurement difference: differencing between satellites. Carrier-phase integer ambiguities also had to be dealt with by estimating them or eliminating them through a third differencing: differencing sequentially in time. And so by the early 1980s, the standard double and triple differencing carrier-phase approaches to high-accuracy relative positioning were in use. Since then, carrier-phase positioning has become a standard technique for both real-time and post-processed high accuracy positioning. In addition to many conventional applications, it has been used to detect slowly occurring or “silent” earthquakes, to control heavy machinery, and to monitor the displacements of engineered structures such as suspension bridges, tall buildings, and as discussed in this month’s column, dams.

WHEN THE Global Positioning System was being designed back in the early 1970s, it was assumed that a GPS receiver’s position would be determined from pseudorange measurements using the pseudorandom-noise-code modulation on the GPS satellite signals. In fact, that is how most GPS-based positioning and navigation is done even today. But the accuracy with which positions can be determined using pseudorange measurements is fundamentally limited by measurement noise and multipath which in turn is determined by the effective wavelength of the code. However, it was realized around 1978 or so, that relative positions between pairs of GPS receivers could be determined with much higher accuracies by using the signal’s carrier rather than its modulation. By differencing phases simultaneously measured by a pair of receivers, essentially an interferometric technique, it was predicted that relative position accuracies equal to a small fraction of the carrier wavelength would be possible, although the instability of the receivers’ clocks would be an issue. That issue was dealt with by forming a second measurement difference: differencing between satellites. Carrier-phase integer ambiguities also had to be dealt with by estimating them or eliminating them through a third differencing: differencing sequentially in time. And so by the early 1980s, the standard double and triple differencing carrier-phase approaches to high-accuracy relative positioning were in use. Since then, carrier-phase positioning has become a standard technique for both real-time and post-processed high accuracy positioning. In addition to many conventional applications, it has been used to detect slowly occurring or “silent” earthquakes, to control heavy machinery, and to monitor the displacements of engineered structures such as suspension bridges, tall buildings, and as discussed in this month’s column, dams.
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of the monoliths being used as anchor points (ML23 and ML24) and the results from the laser alignment survey. The Corps concluded that a GPS system could solve this problem by making use of stable reference points located away from the dam. The GPS system also offers the added benefit that the integrity of its reference points can be checked easily.

The Corps also decided to pursue a system that could provide continuous measurements over time, so they embarked on the installation of GPS monitoring stations at Libby Dam. The GPS system has proven to be robust. The GPS system, as presented alongside one another in this paper, has been shown to be very accurate and long-lasting.

GPS System Installation

Six GPS monitoring stations were installed during the winter of 2001-2002. The entire installation was completed in about three weeks at a cost of just under $150,000, including the GPS and radio hardware. All GPS stations are powered by photovoltaic equipment, and are housed in a weather-tight enclosure attached to the dam. The GPS receiver, radio, gel-cell batteries, and power controllers at each station are designed to limit any thermal deflection, while the offset between the GPS data and the plumb-line data. The installation of the GPS system revealed what appears to be a new mode of deformation, which is described in the next section.

The offsets between the GPS data and the plumb-line data are observed in the figures clearly show the strong correlation between the LREF and RREF measurements. The following equation is used to calculate a linear correlation coefficient that quantifies the agreement between the GPS data and the plumb-line data:

\[
\rho = \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2}}
\]

where \(x_i\) and \(y_i\) are the \(i\)th samples of plumb-line and GPS data, and \(\bar{x}\) and \(\bar{y}\) are the corresponding sample means.

This equation yields a linear coefficient that approaches 1 if the two datasets are perfectly correlated and 0 if they are uncorrelated. The linear coefficient for LREF-M35 and the plumb-line data is 0.87. The linear coefficient for RREF-M35 and the plumb-line data is 0.91. This good agreement clearly shows that:

- the GPS measurement system and the plumb-line measurement system track the same basic displacement, and
- the GPS measurement system has a higher degree of confidence than the plumb-line measurement system.

Calculating the linear coefficient between two different measurement systems is an effective tool for quantifying agreement, and in this case clearly demonstrates that both systems function very well.

Uncommon Displacement.

It is, however, with the exception of the downstream displacement that is not common. There are several possible explanations for this. One is that this difference is caused by some combination of noise in the measurement capabilities of the two systems. The other is that the unknown signal represents displacement that one system tracks but the other does not.

Calculating the linear coefficient between the two separate GPS solutions for ML35 (LREF and RREF) reveals a very low value. The higher linear coefficient of 0.95 between the two GPS solutions suggests that the two systems are measuring slightly different signals. A study of the two GPS reference stations reveals a small relative motion (Figure 3), possibly because of regional structural geology. Removing this periodic variation would drive the GPS to GPS linear coefficient closer to 1. This suggests that — even after process noise and geology are accounted for — some difference in signal tracking capabilities exists between the two systems. More will be said about the different measurement capabilities in the next section.

Figures 2-5 considered horizontal displacement only. Vertical displacement at ML35 is displayed in Figure 6 (Vertical displacement at ML23 shows a similar result). GPS data from LREF and RREF are plotted next to one another. Data from LREF are plotted as purple and data from RREF are plotted as red. The plumb-line data is absent in these figures because the plumb lines at the dam are capable of tracking horizontal motion only. The installation of the GPS network marks the beginning of vertical displacement surveillance. This surveillance has revealed what appears to be a normal mode of uplift and subsidence at the dam crest. The vertical measurements computed from RREF are much more precise than those computed from LREF. This is also true for the horizontal measurements, but to a lesser degree. This is a direct result of the use of different real-time GPS processing parameters for each reference station. How a GPS system is designed and configured is a tradeoff between responsiveness and accuracy. The real-time processing of...
of the monoliths being used as anchor points (ML23 and ML35) to determine the results from the laser alignment survey. The Corps concluded that a GPS system could solve this problem by making use of stable reference points located away from the dam. The GPS system offered the added benefit that the integrity of its reference points can be checked easily. The Corps also decided to pursue a system that could provide continuous measurements. The GPS system offers some insights. These continuous data were deemed to be more valuable for analysis than the twice-yearly laser survey, and would allow data to be collected for true peak-loading conditions. The ability of GPS to operate in real time fulfilled these requirements, and could even provide rapid feedback to Corps engineers and geologists in the event of a major flood or earthquake. In short, the GPS system offered the promise of continuous surveillance, high accuracy, and reasonable cost.

GPS System Installation

The GPS system was installed during the winter of 2001–2002. The entire installation was completed in about three weeks at a cost of just under $150,000, including the GPS and radio hardware. All eight GPS stations are powered by photovoltaic equipment, and communicate to a central PC via a digital radio network. Each station is self-contained and autonomous from the existing electrical and mechanical systems at Libby Dam.

Six GPS monitoring stations were installed on the crest of the dam on carefully selected monoliths. One GPS reference station was installed on stable ground on each side of the dam. The GPS system architecture has proven to be robust. The GPS system computes a three-dimensional measurement every 5 seconds for each station. The processing engine is a delayed-state Kalman filter processing carrier-phase measurements as triple differences. Double-difference code measurements are used as well.

Plumb-Line Correlation

GPS measurements and plumb-line measurements collected at ML23 and ML35 were presented alongside one another in Figures 2 through 4. The positive region of the graph represents upstream motion, while the negative region represents downstream motion. Three years of data are displayed. These figures clearly show the strong correlation between the GPS data and the plumb-line data. The offsets between the GPS data and the plumb-line data are obvious in these figures. These offsets result from the influence of each measurement system at a different time, and come in two forms. The first involves the natural elastic cycle of deformation that occurs at Libby Dam. The GPS system and the plumb-line system were not installed at the same point in this cycle and therefore have separate and unique initial readings. This type of offset could have been mostly eliminated by installing each GPS monitoring point at the same time of year. The other type of offset is a downstream displacement only. Vertical displacement at ML23 shows a similar result (Figure 3). The correlation is present between the two measurement sets, and both show the same pattern of normal elastic deformation. A similar correlation between plumb-line measurements and GPS results is obtained when using the right abutment reference station, or RREF.

FIGURE 1 Plan view of the Libby Dam GPS system

Uncommon Displacement

It is, however, well known that the plumb-line data is not common-mode. There are several possible explanations for this. One is that this difference is caused by some combination of noise in the measurement capabilities of the dam. The other is that the uncommon signal represents displacement that one system tracks but the other does not. Calculating the linear coefficient between the two separate GPS solutions for ML35 (LREF and RREF) offers some insight. The higher linear coefficient of 0.95 between the two GPS solutions suggests that the two systems are measuring slightly different signals. A study of the two GPS reference stations reveals a small relative motion (Figure 5), possibly because of regional structural geology. Removing this periodic variation would drive the GPS to linear coefficient closer to 1. This suggests that — even after process noise and geology are accounted for — some difference in signal tracking capabilities exists between the two systems. More will be said about the different measurement capabilities in the next section.

FIGURES 2–5 considered horizontal displacement only. Vertical displacement at ML35 is displayed in Figure 6 (Vertical displacement at ML23 shows a similar result). GPS data from LREF and RREF are plotted next to one another. Data from LREF are plotted as purple and data from RREF are plotted as red. The plumb-line data is absent in these figures because the plumb lines at the dam are capable of tracking horizontal motion only. The installation of the GPS network marks the beginning of vertical displacement surveillance. This surveillance has revealed what appears to be a normal relaxation of uplift and subsidence at the dam crest.

The vertical measurements computed from RREF are much more precise than those computed from LREF. This is also true for the horizontal measurements, but to a lesser degree. This is a direct result of the use of different real-time GPS processing parameters for each reference station. How a GPS system is designed and configured is a tradeoff between responsiveness and accuracy. The real-time processing of
the RREF station introduces less process noise into the Kalman filter, resulting in a more precise but less reactive solution than the LREF processing. These two different processing configurations are used at Libby Dam in order to simultaneously provide a fast response time to critical displacements and a very high precision record of long-term horizontal and vertical displacements.

Repeatability and Accuracy

The establishment of a strong correlation between the GPS data and the plumbline data leads naturally to a formal evaluation of the GPS repeatability and accuracy. This evaluation is greatly simplified when both systems sample on a regular interval. Since the GPS instruments are mounted on a structure that moves less than 1 millimeter per day it is possible to look at repeatability by comparing the standard deviations of the daily solutions.

TABLE 1 displays the long-term repeatability of the GPS data for each monitoring station (computed from RREF). These statistics were generated using a two-step process. The first step consisted of computing a standard deviation (SD) for each 24-hour set of data from each station. The population size for each daily dataset is roughly 17,280 measurements (the number of possible solutions at our selected five-second sampling interval). These SD calculations were made daily over a three-year period for each GPS station. The average daily SD for three years of data was computed to give a single measure of repeatability. For the purposes of this statistical analysis, the dam was modeled as having zero motion over a 24-hour period. This allows us to consider any scatter in the 24-hour data set to be residual noise from the real-time GPS processing, and not displacement. This is generally a good starting point as the fastest displacement rate recorded at Libby Dam during the past two years was 0.23 millimeters per day (at ML 35). At this extreme, we might a priori expect some component of the SD during these peak rate periods to be displacement and not noise.

The long-term repeatability of the GPS data for each station computed from LREF is noisier than that from RREF because the processing software is programmed to let in more process noise into the Kalman filter. The average long term repeatability (all components) of GPS measurements computed relative to LREF is 2.11 millimeters at 1 SD, and the average long-term repeatability of GPS measurements computed relative to RREF is 0.29 millimeters at 1 SD.

Establishing Accuracy

Establishing the accuracy of the GPS system is more challenging...
ing than establishing the repeatability, and requires the selection of a standard. A considerable amount of good science already exists regarding the theoretical establishment of GPS accuracy and will not be reproduced here. For the purposes of this research, the plumb line turned out to be a very useful standard to which the GPS data can be compared. This exercise yields meaningful information on GPS accuracy, and reveals key differences in tracking capabilities between these contrasting measurement systems.

Declaring that the plumb line is the standard allows us to form a difference between the two data sets by subtracting the two curves, and then evaluating the result in terms of GPS accuracy. Figure 7 shows the difference — or bias — between the plumb-line data at ML35 and the LREF GPS data at ML35. The bias between the two shows a clear upstream-downstream yearly cycle that is unrelated to GPS accuracy. The components of the bias include a prominent low frequency, a high frequency (GPS accuracy), and the relative motion between the two reference stations. Figure 7 demonstrates that the plumb line at ML35 measures a slightly different displacement signal than the GPS. This is demonstrated first by the better GPS-to-GPS linear coefficient versus the GPS-to-plumb-line linear coefficient, and
second by the presence of the strong low frequency signal in the GPS-to-plumb-line bias. Figure 7 also displays the yearly pool elevation at Libby Dam. The good agreement and correlation between these datasets confirms that the low frequency signal is not related to GPS accuracy and suggests that it is related to pool elevation.

30 Motion. The GPS system monitors the three-dimensional motion of a point located on the deck of ML35 at elevation 753.5 meters. The suspended plumb line is anchored in the upper service gallery at elevation 791.0 meters, and the associated reading station is in the lower service gallery at elevation 683.1 meters. The standard deviation of the low frequency signal is 0.0167 millimeters. At 404 days (not plotted here) the 95 percent confidence interval is 0.36 millimeters. At 254 days the 95 percent confidence interval is 0.0167 millimeters. At 404 days (not plotted here) the 95 percent confidence interval is 0.0167 millimeters.

The authors were able to reproduce similar time average plots by using datasets from GPS sensors located on other monoliths (with and without the reference to the gravity-based plumb line). This performance characteristic of GPS is partly explained by the large number of samples per day and the fact that multipath tends to average out the long term signal trend. A histogram from the same dataset is inset into Figure 8. The classic normal distribution is clearly visible showing that GPS system errors tend to follow the normal or Gaussian probability distribution over the long haul. The histogram reveals a small bias of about 0.25 millimeters between the results of the GPS and the reference plumb-line system. Figure 8 indicates that when correctly configured, the GPS system can produce deformation results that are both highly precise and highly accurate.

A Gaussian System
Statistics and time series analysis are valuable tools to measure and classify the performance characteristics of the GPS system over time. This is particularly true for accuracy and precision. Accuracy is the difference between the true value and the mean of the underlying process that generates the data. Precision is the spread of the values about the mean. The discrete GPS signal for LREF-M135 from the accuracy study above was averaged over sequential periods of 1, 2, 3, … 254 days. The standard deviation of the means times 1.97 (equivalent to a 95 percent confidence interval) was then plotted versus the averaging time. These data are displayed in the FIGURE 8 curve. The curve in this figure shows accuracy versus averaging time for the ML35 GPS system at two dams managed and operated by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers’ long-term risk-management plan for hydroelectric structures. The gathering of repeatable, high accuracy horizontal and vertical measurements is a part of a two-stage feedback loop that verifies design assumptions and establishes normal deformation criteria. A sophisticated monitoring effort first defines normal deformation in order to later identify what is out of bounds. The GPS system at Libby Dam has confirmed the horizontal deformation pattern reported by the plumb lines, and is now being used to reveal the vertical deformation patterns as well. These data will be used for performance monitoring, and will be incorporated into risk-management programs.

The GPS system at Libby Dam was installed with the hope that it would — at a reasonable cost — provide continuous surveillance and high-accuracy measurements. It has. Equally important, the increase in performance transparency that GPS can offer will create positive pressures to more efficiently allocate limited resources. The GPS system at Libby Dam represents an important technological advancement for long-term dam surveillance and performance monitoring, and provides an important window into the increasing role in risk management that GPS systems will play by directly and accurately observing deformation in real time.

TABLE 2 Performance Monitoring Plus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Axis</th>
<th>Mean of Day-to-Day Delta (centimeters)</th>
<th>GPS Accuracy SD of Day-to-Day Delta (millimeters)</th>
<th>95% Confidence (1.97 x SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LREF-M135</td>
<td>Upstream/Downstream</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RREF-M135</td>
<td>Upstream/Downstream</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 8 Accuracy versus averaging time for LREF-M135, with inset histogram.

Correlating the GPS data with the plumb-line data demonstrates a high-level of agreement between these two vastly different measurement systems. Both systems are faithfully tracking the overall pattern of horizontal displacement, as well as its magnitude. Establishing a strong linear coefficient between the two datasets is an important confirmation that they track the same basic signal. An even better correlation would be obtained if the plumb line were measuring the full 116.2 meters at ML35. Each system confirms that Libby Dam deforms in an elastic fashion, with very little long-term inelastic deformation. The comparison between the two systems provides compelling evidence that GPS is well suited for long-term performance monitoring of dams. What’s more, the GPS system at Libby Dam offers the upside of being able to precisely monitor vertical displacement, monitor monoliths without plumb lines, and track pure horizontal and vertical translation. These qualities of GPS are well suited to performance monitoring and have important implications for dam safety. A precise and modernized monitoring program is an important component of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers’ long-term risk-management plan for hydroelectric structures. The gathering of repeatable, high accuracy horizontal and vertical measurements is a part of a two-stage feedback loop that verifies design assumptions and establishes normal deformation criteria. A sophisticated monitoring effort first defines normal deformation in order to later identify what is out of bounds. The GPS system at Libby Dam has confirmed the horizontal deformation pattern reported by the plumb lines, and is now being used to reveal the vertical deformation patterns as well. These data will be used for performance monitoring, and will be incorporated into risk-management programs.

The GPS system at Libby Dam was installed with the hope that it would — at a reasonable cost — provide continuous surveillance and high-accuracy measurements. It has. Equally important, the increase in performance transparency that GPS can offer will create positive pressures to more efficiently allocate limited resources. The GPS system at Libby Dam represents an important technological advancement for long-term dam surveillance and performance monitoring, and provides an important window into the increasing role in risk management that GPS systems will play by directly and accurately observing deformation in real time.
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TABLE 2 GPS Accuracy vs-vs Plumb Line (ML35)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Axis</th>
<th>Mean of Day-to-Day Delta (centimeters)</th>
<th>GPS Accuracy SD of Day-to-Day Delta (millimeters)</th>
<th>95% Confidence (1.97 x SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LREF-M135</td>
<td>Upstream</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RREF-M135</td>
<td>Upstream</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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second by the presence of the strong low frequency signal in the GPS-to-plumb-line bias. Figure 7 also displays the yearly pool elevation at Libby Dam. The good agreement between these datasets confirms that the low frequency signal is not related to GPS accuracy and suggests that it is related to pool elevation.

3D Motion. The GPS system monitors the three-dimensional motion of a point located on the deck of ML35 at elevation 753.5 meters. The suspended plumb line is anchored in the upper service gallery at elevation 790.1 meters, and the suspended plumb line measuring station is in the lower service gallery at elevation 683.1 meters. The top of rock elevation is at 637.3 meters. Because of this, the plumb line is only monitoring the relative displacement between an anchor point and reading station, a vertical distance of 67.0 meters (top of dam to bedrock at ML35 measured 162.2 meters).

Although the plumb line is monitoring the majority of displacement associated with the narrow cross-section of the structure, it does not monitor any relative displacement in the lower 45.7 meters of the structure, any movement that could be occurring in the foundation, or any absolute horizontal translation. The low-frequency yearly signal displayed in Figure 7 is the difference between the measurement capabilities of the suspended plumb line at ML35 and the GPS monitoring station. Most likely the bias is dominated by the frequency and amplitude of the relative displacement from elevation 683.1 to elevation 637.3.

Estimation of the GPS accuracy from this bias is possible, but requires either the complete removal of the signal that is not common to each system, or an estimation method that recognizes that the uncorrelated signal has a relatively low frequency. A simple algorithm was designed that subtracted the daily bias from one day to the next for the entire ML35 dataset (LREF). This removes the low frequency signals (caused by temperature and height-of-pool) that are unrelated to GPS accuracy. The results are presented in Table 2. The removal of the low frequency components from the bias provides a reasonable estimate of the GPS accuracy. It is probable that the accuracy is slightly better than this because: the dam is moving slightly during a 24-hour period, our algorithm probably does not remove all uncorrelated signals, and we are not accounting for the relative motion of the dam (with respect to the GPS reference stations).

Nevertheless, the information in Table 2 provides a conservative estimate of the GPS accuracy versus a high-fidelity plumb-line framework. It also establishes the gravity-based plumb line as an excellent standard for GPS accuracy.

A Gaussian System

Statistics and time series analysis are valuable tools to measure and classify the performance characteristics of the GPS system over time. This is particularly true for accuracy and precision. Accuracy is the difference between the true value and the mean of the underlying process that generates the data. Precision is the spread of the values about the mean. The discrete GPS signal for LREF-ML35 from the accuracy survey above was averaged over sequential periods of 1, 2, 3, ... 254 days. The standard deviation of the means times 1.97 (equivalent to a 95 percent confidence interval) was then plotted versus the averaging time. These data are displayed in the FIGURE 8 curve.

The curve in this figure shows accuracy versus averaging time for the ML35 GPS system. Most likely the bias is dominated by the low frequency signal in the GPS data. The curve clearly shows that at 5 days the 95 percent confidence interval is 0.36 millimeters. At 254 days the 95 percent confidence interval is 0.0167 millimeters. At 404 days (not plotted here) the 95 percent confidence interval is 0.0032 millimeters.

The authors were able to reproduce similar time average plots by using datasets from GPS sensors located on other monoliths (with and without the reference to the gravity-based plumb line). This performance characteristic of GPS is partly explained by the large number of samples per day and the fact that multipath trends to average out over long observation periods.

A histogram from the same dataset is inset into Figure 8. The classic normal distribution is clearly visible showing that GPS system errors tend to follow the normal or Gaussian probability distribution over the long haul. The histogram reveals a small bias of about 0.25 millimeters between the results of the GPS and the reference plumb-line system. Figure 8 indicates that when the system is correctly configured, the GPS system can produce deformation results that are both highly precise and highly accurate.

| TABLE 1 Long-term Repeatability of GPS Measurements (Using ML46) |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| **Mean of 17200 daily SDs** | **Mean of 500 daily SDs** | **95% Confidence** |
| **(millimeters)** | **(millimeters)** | **(0.99 x SD)** |
| LREF-ML46 Upstream/Downstream | 0.23 | 0.10 | 0.60 |
| LREF-ML46 Right/Left | 0.27 | 0.10 | 0.62 |
| RREF-ML46 Upstream/Downstream | 0.28 | 0.10 | 0.66 |
| RREF-ML46 Right/Left | 0.27 | 0.10 | 0.70 |

**Figure 8 Accuracy versus averaging time for LREF-ML35, with inset histogram.**

**Performance Monitoring Plus**

Correlating the GPS data with the plumb-line data demonstrates a high level of agreement between these two very different measurement systems. Both systems are faithfully tracking the overall pattern of horizontal displacement, as well as its magnitude. Establishing a strong linear coefficient between the two datasets is an excellent confirmation that they track the same basic signal. An even better correlation would be obtained if the plumb line were measuring the full 116.2 meters at ML35. Each system confirms that Libby Dam deforms in an elastic fashion, with very little long-term inelastic deformation. The comparison between the two systems provides compelling evidence that GPS is well suited for long-term performance monitoring of dams. What’s more, the GPS system at Libby Dam offers the upside of being able to precisely monitor vertical displacement, monitor monoliths without plumb lines, and track pure horizontal and vertical translation. These qualities of GPS are well suited to performance monitoring and have important implications for dam safety. A precise and modernized monitoring program is an important component of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers’ long-term risk-management plan for hydroelectric structures. The gathering of repeatable, high accuracy horizontal and vertical measurements is part of a two-stage feedback loop that verifies design assumptions and establishes normal deformation criteria. A sophisticated monitoring effort first defines normal deformation in order to later identify what is out of bounds. The GPS system at Libby Dam has confirmed the horizontal deformation pattern reported by the plumb lines, and is now being used to reveal the vertical deformation patterns as well. These data will be used for performance monitoring, and will be incorporated into risk-management programs.

The GPS system at Libby Dam was installed with the hope that it would — at a reasonable cost — provide continuous surveillance and high-accuracy measurements. It has. Equally important, the increase in performance transparency that GPS can offer will create positive pressures to more efficiently allocate limited resources. The GPS system at Libby Dam represents an important technological advancement for long-term dam surveillance and performance monitoring, and provides an important window into the increasing role in risk management that GPS systems will play by directly and accurately observing deformation in real time.

**Acknowledgments**

This article is based on the paper “Performance Monitoring of Libby Dam with a Differential Global Positioning System” presented at the 25th United States Society on Dams (USSD) Annual Meeting, held in Salt Lake City, Utah, June 6-10, 2005. The authors would like to acknowledge the USSD for allowing some of this material to be reproduced. We would like to thank Dr. Benjamin Remondi of the XYZs of GPS, Inc. for his generous support.

**Manufacturers**

The equipment used at Libby Dam includes Thales (www.thales.com) G12 single-frequency GPS receivers (used along the dam as monitoring stations, and used as reference stations) and FreeWave (www.freewave.com) DGR 900 MHz spread spectrum radios.

**STEVEN Z. MEYERHOLDT** is the senior instrument engineering manager at Leica Geosystems in the Americas. He has been involved in the GPS industry since 1995, and has overseen the installation of numerous GPS networks around the world.

**NEIL E. BROWN** is the product manager for GNSS Monitoring at Leica Geosystems in Switzerland and has been involved in GPS related research since 2000.

**CORRY S. BALDWIN** is the project manager for infrastructure monitoring at Leica Geosystems in the Americas. He has designed the installation and support of GPS monitoring systems for the hydroelectric, geotechnical, and petroleum industries since 2001.
## FURTHER READING

|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|