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ABSTRACT

Hydrographic offices have made an important effort to turn all their issued traditional nautical publications into digital formats. Many nautical publications are now available in digital formats. While useful, most of them are just digital versions of printed publications. This report is concerned with one of the most important publications for the navigator, Sailing Directions. A real improvement in the value to users of this publication can be performed by means of adding digital multimedia features.

It is proposed that digital video film clips embedded in a GIS environment become a supplemental means to provide additional information to the mariner about coastal descriptions and harbour facilities. Used as an illustrated report, digital video can show not only the information provided in printed Sailing Directions, but also some additional aids (e.g., for manoeuvring or berthing). While still pictures can also provide visual information, a 20-second video clip could easily be equivalent to a hundred pictures and several paragraphs of text. In addition, a video clip with an audio track can provide on-the-fly verbal narration and superimposed figures. This not only allows the navigator to acquire the information more comfortably, it also depicts the real-world situation.

The major benefit of video film clips are to complement – not replace – the use of digital nautical charts and published Sailing Directions. In addition, the incorporation of digital Sailing Directions into GIS software can provide further decision-support capability for navigators. GIS related digital Sailing Directions provides a good opportunity to produce this publication in digital format according to IHO standards.
From an informative point of view, the proposed digital development of Sailing Directions is an effective enhancement of the traditional publication. So it is from the regulatory point of view, because proposed digital Sailing Directions can accomplish with present IHO challenges.
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CHAPTER 1
INTRODUCTION

Since the early 1990’s, the International Hydrographic Organization (IHO) has developed standards and encouraged member states to convert all the traditional paper nautical publications into digital formats. Based on the idea of this process, this report is intended to be a first step in a proposed implementation of a traditional nautical publication in digital format: Sailing Directions. Four main concepts are to be considered as the basis of this project:

- If a picture is worth a thousand words, a video is worth a million words.
- The publication “Sailing Directions” can be developed into a rich digital format, improving its objectives by means of complementing its present text and image format.
- Video movies plus Geographic Information Systems (GIS) are excellent tools to perform this enhanced digitization. In addition, a GIS offers some analysis possibilities for ships, port authorities, and marine companies.
- The goal must be to provide the navigator, when reaching a harbour or a coast, the feeling of: “I have been here before, and I can identify at a glance all the marine and land features I have in front of me”, that is to say, to improve safety at sea by giving the navigator as much information as possible and in the most easily, quickly and reliably understood way.
To show step by step what this project consists of, Chapter 1 of this report will first explore the concept of Sailing Directions. Chapter 2 will demonstrate what video filming can accomplish, to supplement Sailing Directions information. Chapter 3 describes how a GIS software (based on ArcGIS, as an example) can support the information given by Sailing Directions, and how to introduce videoclips in the GIS, and studies some analysis tools that could improve the service given by the publication. Chapter 5 is a brief comparison between this product and other 3D real world models. Chapter 6 includes some recommendations to further developments of this product. Finally, Chapter 7 connects the proposed product to IHO standards.

1.1 CONCEPT OF SAILING DIRECTIONS

Sailing Directions are publications that expand charted details and provide important information of interest to navigation which may not necessarily be found on charts or in other marine publications. It is intended to be read in conjunction with the charts quoted in the text. This book contains a very detailed description of the coast, with many views and information about harbours, winds, currents, lines, hazards, rescue stations, signals and so on, and all of those data that make navigation easier and safer.

The main goal of Sailing Directions is to provide the navigator with a complementary tool to improve the safety of his navigation.

Sailing Directions consist of a series of books. Each book covers a specific geographic area and cross references all charts in that area.

To explain better the concept of Sailing Directions, and the further development reflected in the rest of this report, an example is given: Suppose that a navigator wants to sail from anywhere in the middle of the Bay of Fundy to Saint John (New Brunswick), and intends
to berth in the harbour of Saint John. The traditional process to study the track to follow consists of displaying the nautical chart along with the Sailing Directions book (see figure 1.1). By identifying all the features, the navigator will obtain enough knowledge and self confidence to perform a safe navigation.

![Nautical Chart and Sailing Directions](image1)

**Figure 1.1** Display of a nautical chart and the Sailing Directions to study a track.

![Nautical Chart and Sailing Directions](image2)

**Figure 1.2** Detailed display of the tools available by the navigator to study the entrance to the Saint John harbour (Nautical Chart and Sailing Directions)

Using the display explained above, the navigator visualizes the harbour. The navigator uses written descriptions from the book, the black and white aerial images, and the nautical chart information (see figure 1.2). However, figure 1.3 shows the image the
navigator is actually going to see through the windows of the bridge of the ship. That is to say, the navigator normally will find some images that differ significantly from his previous visualization.

Even when Sailing Directions shows pictures of coastal views, these pictures, for reasons of space constraints, are very scarce and do not reflect all the views the navigator will find along his track.

When entering the harbour the navigator must perform a quick and accurate task. By positioning the ship in the nautical chart, and remembering what he visualized from Sailing Directions, he must identify all the marine objects and coastal features to make sure that the ship sails along the right path. In addition, a good navigator always keeps in mind that GPS signals can fail, and other traditional positioning methods must be ready to use (radar, gyro bearing lines, visual references, etc). Therefore, even with a modern
Electronic Chart Display and Information System (ECDIS), the navigator has a pressing need for a clear picture of the harbour.

Therefore, a tool that represents the real world as accurately as possible is needed. This tool should show the navigator the real world along the whole path to the ship’s destination berthing.
CHAPTER 2

VIDEO FILMING AS THE MOST ACCURATE TOOL TO DEPICT THE REAL WORLD

Several multimedia can be used to illustrate Sailing Directions information. After a brief analysis of these in section 2.1, section 2.2 will conclude which one is the most appropriate.

2.1 DIGITAL MULTIMEDIA SUITABLE FOR SAILING DIRECTIONS

Several digital multimedia features can be useful for Sailing Directions. Multimedia itself means more than one concurrent presentation feature. To describe the real world as accurately as possible, for Sailing Directions purposes, several digital media can be considered. A brief description of some known options are described below:

- Digital printing:
  o Word processing documents. Consist of plain-text formats. The most popular is Microsoft Word. When Word processing documents contain pictures, size increases considerably.
  o Portable Document Format (PDF): Allows capturing and viewing all the elements of a printed document as an electronic image that can be viewed, navigated, searched, printed, or, due to its small size, forwarded to someone else by e-mail.
  o Extensive Markup Language (XML) and Hypertext Markup Language (HTML). Both languages contain markup symbols to describe the contents
of a page or file (XML) or mainly text and images in a Web page, in terms of how it is to be displayed and interacted with (HTML). XML is “extensible” because, unlike HTML, the markup symbols are unlimited and self-defining.

- Digital photographs:
  o Referring to their spatial type:
    ▪ Vertical photographs (the camera axis is vertical or nearly vertical). They are taken from aircraft.
    ▪ Oblique photographs (the camera axis is intentionally tilted). They can be divided in Low Oblique (the horizon is not reflected in the photograph), and High Oblique (the horizon is reflected in the photograph). They can be taken from air, land or sea. Figures 1.2 and 1.3 show examples of oblique photographs.
    ▪ Orthophotos. They are vertical photographs that have been rectified in such a way that every feature in the photograph is mapped according to its geographic position. They will be useful as a complement to a GIS environment, as is explained in chapter 3.
  o Referring to their digital formats:
    ▪ Joint Photographic Experts Group (JPEG). This format can achieve astounding compression ratios even while maintaining very high image quality. It works by analyzing images and discarding of information that the eye is least likely to notice.
- Tagged Image File Format (TIFF). This format is used as a lossless image storage format that uses no compression. Consequently, file sizes are quite big.

- Graphics Interchange Format (GIF). This format uses algorithms to approximate the colors in the image with a limited palette of 256 colors. It produces very small pictures, but with a great loss of image accuracy.

- Digital video:
  - Digital video (DV) and Audio Video Interleave (AVI). They give the best video quality. However, they demand significant storage space. Sixty minutes of video can take up almost 12 GB of disk space.
  - Moving Picture Experts Group (MPEG). This format is a high quality compressed format, which is able to save up sixty minutes of video onto 2.3 GB of disk space.
  - Streamable video formats: Windows Media Video (WMV), QuickTime (MOV), RealVideo (RM), etc. They are sent in compressed form over the internet and displayed by the viewer as they arrive. In a live broadcast, the video signal is converted into a compressed digital signal and transmitted from a special web server that is able to send the same file to multiple users at the same time. They offer reasonable quality, small size files, and allow users to send videos via e-mail, or place on the web for easy viewing anywhere. However, these files, except MOV formats, cannot be restored or edited.
• Flash Video (FLV). This format is the most used on the web. It has the best size/quality ratio. Many web sites (for example, YouTube) offer server-side encoding of video. Any format can be uploaded, and it is automatically encoded to FLV.

2.2 LOOKING FOR THE MOST APPROPRIATE MULTIMEDIA FOR DIGITAL SAILING DIRECTIONS

Focusing on the information given by Sailing Directions (i.e., independently of nautical chart displays), a brief analysis of suitable media is performed. Afterwards, possible formats of these media will be analyzed.

A digital picture gives good resolution, and some text and figures can be superimposed to explain the features reflected in them (see figure 2.1). In fact, some present Sailing Directions are built using these kinds of figures. But due to book size constraints, those figures have to be limited. Thus, only few of them, showing views from certain key points, can be used, losing the continuity linking every image. The pictures themselves must have only a few superimposed figures, otherwise the image would be saturated, losing in such a way their informative purpose.
Even considering exclusively the information reflected in the text of Sailing Directions, the necessary number of pictures to describe the text faithfully, would be too high. Also if we consider that much more information must be given to the navigator for him to have a clear and complete picture of the harbour he is entering (approaches, buoy relative positions, harbour facilities, etc), the number of pictures to be shown would have to be huge, and publications would have important problems to cover all of them.

Filming can provide the navigator with all the required information, and not only in a continuous and clear form, but also in a very comfortable and high “mind-impacting” way. Watching a videoclip where Sailing Directions are shown by means of superimposed text, figures and narration is a greatly effective way to give the navigator that feeling of “I’ve been here before”, that is to say, to make the navigator feel familiar with the corresponding coast or harbour. If we compare the information given by figure
1.2 with the one given by a videoclip, done for that part of Sailing Directions (see figure 2.2), we can see the great difference between them. In the videoclip, a verbal narration, with text and superimposed figures, explains all features contained in Sailing Directions. A little nautical chart superimposed on the image points out where all these features are, helping the navigator their identification.

An additional and basic advantage of video filming is that a complete navigation through a safe track (for instance, the navigation through the Saint John harbour main channel) can be re-created. In addition, it has the additional security that this information is being given from a real ship that is sailing safely according to the Sailing Directions. Guidance on how to steer a ship to leave a harbour, or to go to a particular berthing place, can also be efficiently provided by video. Figure 2.3 shows an example of a videoclip explaining the navigation through the channel.

A complementary tool that can be added to a videoclip, in those video images where the risk of shoals are present, is a superimposed 3-D image of the seafloor. Using a 3-D visualization tool, like “Fledermaus” from Interactive Visualization Systems (IVS), a rectified image of the seafloor, using control points, can be merged with the video image. Figure 2.4 shows a typical 3-D seafloor display in Fledermaus. Figure 2.5 shows a video still with a combined image.
Figure 2.2  Video stills from a video clip showing the Sailing Directions expressed in figure 1.2
(See External Appendix 1)
Figure 2.3  Video stills from a video clip showing guidelines and advice to enter Saint John harbour through the main channel
(See External Appendix 2)
Figure 2.4  3-D image of the Saint John harbour seafloor, visualized in Fledermaus, from IVS. Data used
to build this image has been generously provided by the UNB Ocean Mapping Group.
Detailed graphic information provided by a videoclip is great help for those navigators coming from a different IALA (International Association of Marine Aids to Navigation and Lighthouse Authorities) buoyage region. These images will assist a navigator coming from Europe, for example, to keep in mind that when entering the Saint John harbour, green buoys must be left on the port side.

Another big advantage of videoclips is for non-English speaking navigators. By watching a videoclip they will understand on the fly all the features of the harbour, while reading he/she has to have a good command in English to understand the text.

As stated in point 1, Sailing Directions is to be used mainly in advance of visiting a coast or entering a harbour. It provides “to-be-previously-studied” information, so that when
entering the harbour, almost all the information is kept in mind by the navigator. In addition, a real time useful feature could be obtained from videoclips. By means of grabbing those key images of interest, the navigator can easily build a printable version of the directions, just to be used while navigating. A sequence of images, like those ones shown in figure 7.1, can be printed and ready to use in real time. Actually, the videoclips can be visualized at the same time the ship is entering the harbour, but must be used by personnel from the navigation support team (to provide assessment to the officer on watch), not by the officer on watch.

Concerning formats for pictures, JPEG format seems to be the most reasonable. This format gives good quality while not taking up much disk space. Concerning the type of photographs, orthophotos are useful for GIS purposes (see section 3). Oblique photographs, either aerial views or surface views, are useful for explanatory purposes as detailed complements to videoclip moving images. For example, a detailed picture of a ship that normally operates in a harbour (for example, M/V Princess of Acadia, in Saint John harbour), can be merged in the explanations of a videoclip.

For video, FLV format is the best choice. While maintaining a good resolution, this format cannot be edited by the user, and allows a possible broadcast of digital Sailing Directions via the internet. Texts formats to be used in videoclips are provided by video edition software itself. In section 6.4, when speaking about digital Sailing Directions display strategy, and texts apart from the ones embedded in videoclips, PDF format is very useful. However, text display showed in section 4 may be open to other text formats like XML, or HTML.
CHAPTER 3

GIS AS AN USEFUL TOOL TO COMPLEMENT DIGITAL VIDEO SAILING DIRECTIONS INFORMATION

As explained in point 1, Sailing Directions books are used along with nautical charts simultaneously. While reading the book, navigators are checking the nautical chart. At this point, it is evident that a videoclip is more explanatory than a piece of printed text. However, the visualization of the nautical chart is a matter to take into account too. This issue is analyzed in the following section.

Digital conversion of traditional products does not necessarily mean an improvement of the product. Or at least it is matter to be discussed. A clear example is digital books. A book in PDF format, read on a computer screen, has many advantages (a library of thousands of books can be stored and read on a laptop, links to other features can be added, search of words can be performed, etc). But, for leisure purposes, some can feel that the quality of reading a hard copy book cannot be replaced by a digital one. Others, on the other hand, may feel that reading a digital book in a large computer screen is better than handling a printed copy.

Concerning Sailing Directions, a nautical chart must be used along with the publication study. However, studying a paper nautical chart is more comfortable than studying the equivalent ENC on a computer screen. By merely swinging the head, the eyes sweep the nautical chart in fractions of seconds. By moving the head closer to the chart, details can be seen, in fractions of seconds too. An ENC displayed in an ECDIS requires zoomings and pannings to see all its features. In addition, a display from a paper nautical chart is much cleaner than the one from an ENC displayed in an ECDIS.
Therefore, a question automatically comes to mind: Will a digital version of the nautical chart contribute to improve Sailing Directions? The answer to this research question will be given in following sections.

3.1 Nautical Chart Displayed in a GIS

Using a GIS, a nautical chart and the information given by Sailing Directions can be accessed and visualized simultaneously. Additionally, we can use the powerful GIS analysis tools to improve the product. The layer system provided by a GIS can very easily show many different kinds of information, simultaneously, on demand, depending on the user needs. Figure 3.1 shows a GIS (ArcGIS in this case) screen displaying a nautical chart over other GIS layers (aerial photographs, bollards, sailing lines, power outlets, etc)

ArcGIS offers a very effective and simple tool to add Sailing Directions information files to GIS. By means of hyperlinks we can link the desired type of Sailing Directions format. We can link, for instance, a Portable Document Format (PDF). Figure 3.2 shows a printed version of Sailing Directions hyperlinked to a sailing line from the map area of ArcMap.
Figure 3.2 Arc Map screen showing a Sailing Directions page, from the printed version, hyperlinked to a feature in the map area.
In the same way, video files can also be easily hyperlinked to ArcMap, resulting in a clear and helpful way to identify the features shown in videoclips with those in the nautical chart. In this example video files are attached to the corresponding sailing lines created in the map. In figure 3.3 we can see how the video image identifies landmarks with the control points defined as a layer in the GIS map screen.

One requirement for this product implementation, that we can guess by observing figure 3.3, is that a large computer screen is required to visualize in detail the GIS map area and the videoclip. Even two screens would be suitable, one for the GIS map area, and the other one for the videoclip. This matter will depend on the bridge room availability in each particular case.

To complement this link between GIS and videoclips, the GPS position information of the filming ship can be superimposed.

Another useful type of feature that can be hyperlinked to this product is a harbour webcam, linked by its Uniform Resource Locator (URL). If an internet connection is available, the most recent visibility conditions, for example, can be checked prior to enter the harbour. Figure 3.4 shows a Saint John Harbour ArcMap view hyperlinked to the webcam of Saint John.
Figure 3

An Map screen showing a Sailing Directions page, from the printed version, hyperlinked to a feature in the map area.
Figure 3. A map screen showing the Saint John webcam hyperlinked to the Saint John nautical chart map area.
Finally, and as an example of how video files can improve another kind of information, a navigator could also be helped by a videoclip before planning a meal downtown. Figure 3.5 shows three scenes from a video file showing the path to a restaurant in Saint John, departing from the downtown port gates, and the interior of that restaurant.

![Example of scenes from a videoclip, showing leisure information (restaurant at Saint John)](image)

**Figure 3.5** Example of scenes from a videoclip, showing leisure information (restaurant at Saint John)

### 3.2 COMPLEMENTARY GIS ANALYSIS TOOLS

Apart from Sailing Directions information, ArcGIS provides us with some powerful analysis tools that can improve the product. Sailing Directions requirements and the analysis tools can be merged in the same product. This section will demonstrate useful analysis tasks that can be accomplished with ArcGIS, and provide examples of these tasks.

The first example of an analysis tool is to check whether a berthing place is suitable for a certain ship or not. Let us suppose that ship X is going to enter Saint John harbour, and she is assigned a berthing place covering bollards 285, 286 and 287. This place could be a
good berthing or not depending, for example, on how far the closest operational power outlet is. If the closest power outlet is farther than the length covered by the ship’s power cable, then the ship will have to take her power from her own means, with the unpleasant results (noise, waste of fuel, etc). Figure 3.6 illustrates this idea.

If we perform a spatial join between Power_Outlets and Bollards layers, we will have the closest distances from the bollards to the power outlets. In such a way we will obtain a table like figure 3.7 shows.

![Figure 3.6 Wharf with ships at berthed, showing a power outlet. The power outlet in this wharf can be suitable for ships berthed at a distance “a” from it. But a ship berthed at a distance “b” from the power outlet must make sure that her power cable length is long enough to reach the power outlet](image)
Figure 3.7 Spatial join table obtained from a GIS spatial join between layers. Closest distances between bollards and power outlets are shown here.
Here we can see that between bollards 285 and 287 the minimum distance to a power outlet is around 31 metres (to power outlet # 9) and that the maximum distance is around 111 metres (to power outlet # 10). So if the power hose is 200 m long, there will not be any problem. But if the power hose is 70 metres long we will have to check first how far along the dock the ship’s power station is to be located, and depending on this fact, we must check if the cable reaches the closest power outlet. In case it does not reach, prior to entering the harbour, a more suitable berthing place can be requested, or make arrangements in advance to get a longer cable. This information provided by ArcGIS will avoid undesirable waste of time and money. A similar analysis could be performed with water outlets, or port gates to the city.

The second example of an analysis tool is to plan a tourist visit to the town. When a ship arrives in a port, she normally spends very few days there. If the Executive Officer (X.O.) would want to organize a short but effective tourist visit, he could decide that the best way to do it could be visiting those places that are close to the streets. Let us consider a distance of 100 m as the maximum one to consider a place “near to the road”. If the crew have only one morning for the visit, the X.O. can decide to rent a van, only for the morning, and allow the crew to visit the highest number of places possible.

If we perform a buffer of 100 m around the streets, and then make an intersection with the tourist places layer, we will obtain automatically all those tourist places within a distance of 100 m from any street. Figure 3.8 shows the result of the buffering process, and figure 3.9 the result of the intersection. In this case we can see that the only tourist places near a street are “Reversing Falls”, “Old store”, “Old lighthouse” and “Park”. “Marshes” and “Lake” are not included.
Figure 3.8  Buffering a line type layer. In this example, street layer is buffered at a 100m distance.
Figure 3.9 Result of intersecting a buffered street layer with the tourist places layer. Only those tourist places inside the buffer are given in the intersection table.
The third example of an analysis task is to see at a glance which areas of the town are more enjoyable in terms of leisure. If a ship has the opportunity of choosing a berthing dock among several choices, a map of leisure levels will help the navigator to decide where to berth, if a chance to choose exists.

By calculating a “leisure index” (based on a “leisure rating”, a product of several factors related to the number, quality, visits, etc, of leisure elements in a city) the city can be mapped according to this index. Figure 3.10 is the result of mapping the city according to the “leisure index” expressed in table 3.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leisure_rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Leisure Index (LI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>Boring areas</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>Areas with few leisure opportunities</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-6</td>
<td>Areas with some leisure opportunities</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>Areas with acceptable leisure opportunities</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-12</td>
<td>Area worth visiting</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-20</td>
<td>Interesting areas</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;= 20</td>
<td>Very interesting areas</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 3.1  Criterion to map a city according to different “leisure indexes”*
Those analyses explained above can be translated to a clear map layout to be shown to the crew before calling at a port. These maps would permit the crew to see at a glance the most enjoyable areas of the city, or which tourist places they are going to visit in the city. A map layout would also be interesting for the ship’s Chief of Engineering to have a visual idea about the location of power and water outlets according to the bollards. Examples of these map layouts can be seen in figures 3.10 and 3.11.

Training courses of basic GIS analysis can be given to Navigation Team members in a vessel. A three months training may be enough for a mariner to be able to manage GIS analysis tools.
Figure 3.10  Mapping a city according to the leisure degree in different areas
Figure 3.11  Mapping a port according to bollards, power outlets and water outlets locations.
3.3 SUMMARY OF ADVANTAGES OF USING A GIS AS THE BASIC ENVIRONMENT FOR DIGITAL SAILING DIRECTIONS

Although a paper nautical chart is more comfortable to study, GIS features enhance Sailing Directions to a great extent. The advantages of a GIS environment can be summarized as follows:

- A GIS permits the navigator to check geographical positions by the simple movement of the mouse. Bearings and distances can be obtained easily, without any need for physical rulers, pencils, etc.

- GIS can show on the same screen the chart and Sailing Directions publication information. Hence, large rooms for chart and book displays are not required. In addition, Sailing Directions and nautical charts can be studied anywhere there is room for a computer or laptop.

- GIS provides some analysis tools of interest for the navigator.

Another basic advantage of GIS use for Sailing Directions is that it could accomplish IHO requirements, and be a tool for common legal use worldwide. This aspect is discussed in more detail in section 7.

A disadvantage may be found in the required additional learning and training courses required to use GIS effectively.
CHAPTER 4

ADVANTAGES AND DRAWBACKS OF USING THIS PRODUCT AND OTHER 3-D REAL WORLD MODELS TO ACCOMPLISH SAILING DIRECTIONS REQUIREMENTS

Some sophisticated 3-D real world models are being developed. One could think of them as useful tools to accomplish Sailing Directions requirements. Two of these products, that are good examples of media rich 3-D real world modelling works, will be analyzed: The work from the Center of Coastal and Ocean Mapping (CCOM) at the University of New Hampshire (UNH) about panoramic images for situational awareness in a 3D chart-of the future display, by means of GEONAV software; and the Google Earth world modelling product.

4.1 WORKS FROM CCOM AT UNH

The first example (CCOM) is a tool that shows in real time the real world surrounding the ship, according to her position. The images shown in this case are built by means of mosaics of panoramic pictures (see figures 5.1 and 5.2) fitted to images of the seafloor and aerial pictures.
Figure 4.1 View of software GEONAV, displaying real images and other 3D cartographic information (bathymetry, aerial pictures, symbol, etc. Image generously provided by the CCOM Chart of the Future Project at UNH.
Figure 4.2 View of software GEONAV, displaying real images and other 3D cartographic information (bathymetry, aerial pictures, symbols, etc. Image generously provided by the CCOM Chart of the Future Project at UNH
Related with the project explained in this paper, it can be deduced that GEONAV software has the following advantages with respect to the digital Sailing Directions described here:

- The images surrounding the ship can be shown according with any direction. We can choose any geographical position of the ship, and from it check how the coast appears to the “ship’s eye”. The film based Sailing Directions only shows the images focused by the video camera, not by anyone in any other direction.

- It can be useful for voyage planning and simulation. The video based Sailing Directions cannot be used for simulation.

- GEONAV gives views of the coast, from any point of observation height. It can recreate the view from a small ship, and also the view from the high bridge of a large petrol oil tanker. In digital Sailing Directions, different filmings along the same seaways, from different types of ships, should be performed.

And the following drawbacks:

- GEONAV does not show as accurate real world images as digital Sailing Directions does. Perspectives, objects, and mass movements (smoke, waves, other ships, etc), are much better represented in a video than in a mosaic of still images. Video can make the navigator more familiar with the surrounding world.

- GEONAV can be used to explore a coast or a harbour, but it does not provide orderly sequenced explanations about the features the navigator is watching. For example, GEONAV does not explain where the most risky shoals are, how they can be located visually with respect to land references, etc. Thus, the navigator has to find this out by himself, and a 3D image of the seafloor is not always as
clear as it should be. A video with a superimposed 3D seafloor image can explain in few seconds where a risky shoal is, and how to reference it with respect to land known points. GEONAV cannot provide also detailed views and explanations of features like a crane working, a power outlet cable coupling use, etc. Proposed digital Sailing Directions takes the navigator by the hand, in such a way that, after having gone through all the steps indicated by the index structure, a clear picture of the coast or harbour, with a maximum of information, is kept in mind.

4.2 GOOGLE EARTH

3D models of areas of the world are being modeled by Google Earth. These models combined with orthophotos and aerial photos, provide a 3D environment which provides any view from any point, whether the point is in land or at sea. Travels through the model can be performed, and video files showing these travels can be saved. Also, navigation tracks can be imported and superimposed on the image. Figure 4.3 shows some models from Boston and Calgary. Figure 4.4 reflects one of these models, with a pop-up window showing a picture of a linked point.
Figure 4.3 Some 3D models visualized in Google Earth. The two upper ones correspond to Boston (Massachusetts, USA), and the lower one to Calgary (Alberta, Canada)
Therefore, the advantages of a product like Google Earth can be:

- A virtual navigation through a complete 3D model can be performed. This navigation can be saved in an explanatory video file. Aerial images and from-sea images are pretty straightforward to obtain. There is no need to use an aircraft to film aerial images, or a ship to film from-sea images.
And the drawbacks:

- It is difficult to model all the features of a geographic area, whether it is a large area or a small one. A building is easy to model virtually, but modelling all the features surrounding the building (trees, poles, terrain irregularities, etc) is a difficult task. Coasts and harbours are not modelled in enough detail to be useful as Sailing Directions. For instance, Saint John harbour is still offered in Google Earth as an aerial picture map (see figure 4.5). Even having some links to pictures (figure 4.4), the continuity and “on the fly” explanations from videoclips cannot be performed.

- Like GEONAV, Google Earth does not provide orderly sequenced explanations about the features the navigator is watching. A video file from Google Earth could be saved and edited to show Sailing Directions, but the present visual information given by Google Earth is not accurate enough to provide a detailed environment for Sailing Directions.

- 3D virtual models of coasts and harbours, accurate enough to give confidence to the mariner, is a medium-long term task.

- Updates would be harder to perform. Modelling a building that has been replaced, for example, is much more complicated than updating a film.
A general advantage of digital Sailing Directions over any virtual model is that the navigator has the confidence that video images are real images taken from a real ship, performing a real navigation. For instance, a virtual model hardly will be able to reproduce a night view of a harbour, while video will provide a real picture of that night view (see figure 4.6).
The comparative analysis performed in this point is not intended to be a comparison between products’ quality (of course, GEONAV and Google Earth are infinitely more developed products than a video). Instead the analysis aims to conclude which tool is more suitable for Sailing Directions implementation.

CCOM and Google Earth both are much more ambitious goals. Merging their capabilities with proposed digital Sailing Directions is a matter to be studied as another enriched media opportunity.
CHAPTER 5

FURTHER TECHNICAL DEVELOPMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

Until now, videoclips as a useful tool to enhance Sailing Directions information have been studied. Now, a basic feature must be approached: how to display all the information of Sailing Directions in an intuitive, indexed and attractive way for the user. Section 6.1 will deal with a proposed display to accomplish this task. Section 6.2 will define a strategy to organize the ENC-Sailing Directions linking.

5.1 DISPLAY STRATEGY

As stated above, a basic factor must be studied more deeply: how to display all the information of Sailing Directions, in an intuitive, indexed and attractive way for the user. To make this display effective, this product should offer, at least, the ease of presentation that the traditional Sailing Directions book gives. The traditional publication itself can provide the basic script template for displaying the digital Sailing Directions.

Using the traditional publication as the basic script template to display the digital Sailing Directions has important advantages. A display showing a PDF version of the publication, along with the videoclips and the nautical chart, permits an “eventually-linking-bridge” between the traditional publication and the proposed product.

Countries with a long coastline could initially consider it unfeasible to film all the harbours and coasts within its responsibility (United States, Canada, Australia, etc), and therefore, it would be impossible to issue and implement this proposed Sailing Directions. If the traditional nautical publication is kept, and the videoclips are added while being
filmed, digital Sailing Directions that match the concept of “complementing but not replacing” can be created. To better explain this approach this section will work with explanatory figures. Figure 5.1 shows a basic 3-frames-display that matches this idea.

As figure 5.1 shows, a fixed 3-frame-display shows all the required information of Sailing Directions.
The window on the left displays a PDF version of the traditional Sailing Directions publication. As we have videoclips available from each section of this publication, they will be linked to the PDF in two different ways (we can see already that the videoclip concept is subordinated to the traditional publication implementation): (1) A link to a videoclip that accurately reflects the information given in the corresponding paragraph, and (2) A link to a videoclip that reflects information, that without being written in the paragraph, is related to it, and gives additional useful information. Figures 6.2, 6.3, and 6.4 illustrate these ideas.

Figure 5.2 shows a detail of the upper left corner of the 3-frames-display. The first example from figure 5.2 shows the section, from Canadian Sailing Directions, related to berthing in the harbour of Saint John (paragraph 53 in Canadian Sailing Directions). A link (coloured in red) opens the corresponding videoclip of this section. The second example from figure 5.2 shows a hypothetic extension to paragraph 53. In this case, the extension consists of an explanation of the type of power outlets and couplings in docks. These kinds of explanatory extensions could cover many different features: from types and shapes of outlets, to types and shapes of fenders, for example.
During the forecast, the water in the harbour is practically all tides.

For further information on conditions in Saint John Harbour, see the Appendix.

Berthing - Berthing accommodation in Saint John Harbour extends along both sides of the main harbour and in Crescent Bay. Fresh water can be delivered.

The Canadian Coast Guard marine depot is situated on the north side of the main harbour. A wash ENP is on the north side of the bridge. The wash ENP is on the west side of the bridge. The wash ENP is on the east side of the bridge. The wash ENP is on the north side of the bridge.

Another link opens a videoclip showing additional features, related to the PDF paragraph, but that are not included in the PDF.
Figure 5.3 shows the relationship between the links explained in figure 5.2, and the nautical chart.

The video clip can be visualized so by clicking the PDF link as the corresponding layer from the GIS contents.
Finally, a table of contents with some links to additional features could be added. Figures 6.4 and 6.5 show examples of different links, both web-based, and to other local files. Figure 5.4 is an example of a web-based link. It reflects a link to a weather forecast web page, corresponding to the area covered by each Sailing Directions booklet. Figure 5.5 shows an example of a link to another PDF document, such as the International Regulation for Preventing Collisions at Sea.

![Sailing Directions Gulf of Maine and Bay of Fundy](image)

**Figure 5.4** Link to a Weather Forecast web page corresponding to the area covered by the Sailing Directions booklet.
Everything stated in this section is a conceptual strategy. This 3-frames-display has not been developed so far. But, having seen what ArcGIS is able to do, it is not difficult to guess that this kind of display could be easily developed by GIS programmers without any problem.

In fact, ESRI (Environmental Systems Research Institute), has developed ArcIMS, a solution for delivering dynamic maps and GIS data and services via the web. ArcIMS has been one of the tools used by SFProspector to broadcast its services. SFProspector is a free on-line tool aimed at businesses looking to open or expand their operations in San Francisco (USA) and members of the general public interested in obtaining demographic

Figure 5.5  Link to a PDF document apart from Sailing Directions.
and economic data. ArcIMS and SFProspector use a several-frames format to display information. Figure 5.6 shows an example of this display. Viewing this example it is not difficult to assume that a 3-frames-display for Sailing Directions would not be difficult for a GIS company to develop.

![Display of SFProspector aided by an ArcIMS map document](image)

*Figure 5.6  Display of SFProspector aided by an ArcIMS map document*

This example of SFProspector working with ArcIMS, also gives us an idea of how digital Sailing Directions could be implemented via the web. The advantage of keeping the Sailing Directions information in a web server would be that the user could access
updated information much faster than receiving a periodic CD or any physical device.

This strategy calls to mind a single source database for multiple products. The idea of a single data source was given by Germany in the SNPWG meeting held in Hamburg in June 2003. Perhaps this 3-frames-display strategy could be useful for implementing this idea. A PDF version of the list of lights in the left window, the nautical chart in the lower window, and a videoclip showing different perspectives of the light, in the upper window could be developed. The videoclip could show images of the light from the distance, in a close view, real images of the light working at night, etc. But this single data source study for other publications is beyond the scope of this report.

The closest approach to this display performed in this Sailing Directions project is a 3-frames-display built by means of hyperlinks in ArcGIS. In such a way, we can now display a window showing the PDF version of Sailing Directions, a videoclip, and the nautical chart, by hyperlinking polygons to these applications. The result is shown in figure 5.7.
Finally, and as a miscellaneous thought, this “linked-and-related-3-frames-display” could be very useful for tourists planning trips and visits. It would be a complete, neat, and intuitive way to get the best information. In this kind of display, a tourist could check, for example, which accommodations of certain type lie in an area (hotels, bed and breakfasts, camping grounds, etc), their appearance and the hours of operation (explanatory videoclip), what the weather will be like for a period of time, etc. Figure 5.8 illustrates this idea.

Figure 5.8  Example of a GIS 3-frame display for tourism purpose (text, picture and figure are extracted from Newfoundland tourist information in the web)
5.2 A STRATEGY TO LINK THIS PRODUCT TO AN ECDIS

A possible strategy to link Sailing Directions video files to an ECDIS is as following. The natural link between the Nautical Chart and the Sailing Directions could be some predetermined polygons covering geographical areas. These areas will be defined according to the areas of information given by the present Sailing Directions publication. Figure 5.9 shows an example of dividing areas according to Sailing Directions publication. Note that the geographical division for Sailing Directions is not the same as the Nautical Chart divisions. A Sailing Directions division may contain several nautical charts, and one nautical chart may belong to different Sailing Directions divisions.

Figure 5.9 Example of Sailing Directions coverage
However, the present scheme for Sailing Directions could be modified to align with ENC boundaries, if the two were to be tightly merged.

Each time there is a nautical chart displayed in the ECDIS, a link between that display, and the geographic polygon it belongs to (that is to say, the Sailing Directions booklet it belongs to), is shown. By clicking that link, a separate screen, exclusively employed for Sailing Directions, called, for example, Sailing Directions Display (SDD) will show the Sailing Directions information (See figure 5.10).

![ECDIS Screen](image)

![Layer that works as the link to the Sailing Directions information](image)

![Sailing Directions Display](image)

*Figure 5.10 Sketch of a link between an ECDIS and a spare screen for Sailing Directions use*
Because present ECDIS screens can be large, the possibility of displaying digital Sailing Directions in the ECDIS screen itself could be considered. However, using the ECDIS screen itself for Sailing Directions could introduce some drawbacks. For example:

- Seeing Sailing Directions while navigating would be disruptive for navigation team personnel. Text and videoclip displays could distract attention to the nautical chart.

- The ECDIS would be the only spot where Sailing Directions could be studied. With a spare screen, Sailing Directions could be studied anywhere a laptop or a personal computer can be plugged.

- A spare screen system would allow checking or presenting Sailing Directions on a large screen in a conference room, while a one-screened one would not.

Another application could be the implementation and distribution of the product via the internet (replacing physical shipment). The user could access updated video files as long as an internet connection was available.
The complete digitization of Sailing Directions in a GIS environment has another important advantage. As stated in previous section, IHO could support this type of development. An ECDIS uses a GIS environment to display the nautical chart, very similar in concept and features to the one used in this paper, ArcGIS. Therefore, a path to merge this product with IHO requirements is worth studying.

6.1 BACKGROUND: THE STANDARIZATION NAUTICAL PUBLICATIONS WORKING GROUP (SNPWG) AND THE COMMITTEE ON HYDROGRAPHIC REQUIREMENTS FOR INFORMATION SYSTEMS (CHRIS)

Special Publication S-57 from the International Hydrographic Office (IHO) provides guidance to convert paper publications to digital formats. In such a way, a real world codification process is being carried out in order to implement in computer systems all those elements of interest for Hydrography and Navigation. The SNPWG (Standarization Nautical Publications Working Group) from the IHO is working in this direction.

Concerning the nautical publications digitization process, the SNPWG has defined three types of nautical publications:

- Type 1: Hard copy (NP1).
- Type 2: Digital version. A slight modification of type 1 (NP2).
- Type 3: Digital version for ECDIS. New product (NP3).
Concerning NP1, List of Lights publication is the one which has been more standardized, because this publication is, basically, made of tables. The result of these works has been the issuing of publication M 12. For Sailing Directions, and due to its literary content, only some generic rules are given.

Concerning NP2, this type of digital publication is basically a Text Processor (MS Word, for example), or a PDF version of hard copies.

Concerning NP3, these publications will be the ones intended to be used embedded with an ECDIS. The IHO is focusing its efforts on this type of publication, and is trying to build up a norm, from the very beginning. Since nobody has so far developed this type of product, the IHO is trying to reach an agreement to develop a standard from which publications can be developed to work in an ECDIS. Sailing Directions is the main publication that is intended to be developed according to this normative. Initially, information from publications should be codified to make possible a consult in a geographic environment. This type of publication is intended to substitute, legally, the hard copy version.

Sailing Directions can be digitized according to different methods. For example, a web page format can be considered an interactive and appealing format.

If we compare briefly the process of nautical publications digitization, with the process carried out with nautical charts, we could find a way to focus our efforts when developing Sailing Directions digitally. When commencing to develop nautical charts digitally, most hydrographic offices, and several companies, developed raster charts as a mere scan from paper nautical charts. Eventually, electronic nautical charts (ENC and DNC) were built. Finally, the IHO established official, only the ENC, rejecting the raster versions as illegal.
In a similar way, and concerning Sailing Directions, it would be logical to think that hydrographic offices should devote their efforts to building a digital Sailing Directions of type 3, i.e., Sailing Directions to be used along with the ENC in an ECDIS. They should avoid “halfway” products that, although effective from the information point of view, could pose a problem when used along with an ECDIS.

At the second meeting of the SNPWG, held in Hamburg, in 2003, two approaches were defined about how NPs can be integrated into ECDIS. The first one consists of attaching NP information to existing S-57 attributes (see upper figure 6.1). The second approach is to expand the S-57 object model and object catalogue to allow NP information to be integrated as S-57 object classes and attributes (See lower figure 6.1).

Another interesting approach discussed at the second SNPWG meeting was display issues, i.e., how could NPs be displayed in the ECDIS system. One of the proposals was a User Interface. It was suggested that the selection of NP information be contained within a selection box. This approach is similar to the one explained in section 6.2.
At the third meeting of the SNPWG, the group agreed to use Unified Modeling Language (UML) to model data for NP3.

At the fifteenth meeting of the Committee on Hydrographic Requirements for Information Systems (CHRIS), held in Monaco in June 2003, the S-57 format was agreed to be used by NP3. At the eighteenth meeting of CHRIS it was stated that before SNPWG can start with the work on the presentation of NPs in an ECDIS, the work on the development of new object classes and attributes must have reached a state where first test data sets can be produced. The University of New Hampshire (UNH) offered to start
a project on this topic, as expressed by Dr. Lee Alexander at the seventh meeting of CHRIS in Rostock, Germany.

6.2 A FIRST APPROACH TO MATCH IHO REQUIREMENTS: DIGITAL SAILING DIRECTIONS AS A MARINE INFORMATION OVERLAY (MIO)

Converting Sailing Directions to a format in accordance with S-57 specifications would initially be the most effective way to implement this publication in an ECDIS. At the third meeting of the SNPWG (Silver Spring, Maryland, USA, June 2004), Germany reported having transformed its Sailing Directions into a simple structure with pictures, tables, and short descriptions. At the fourth meeting of the SNPWG (Monaco, March 2005), Germany also claimed to be working to restructure the Sailing Directions so that it is easier to produce them from a database. Also at this fourth meeting, a member of NOAA, John Tucker, discussed the work that NOAA is doing with its Coast Pilot using Extensive Markup language (XML). NOAA reported to be carrying on with this new system, at the fifth meeting of the SNPWG (Copenhagen, Denmark, October 2005). This new system by NOAA will be database driven and will auto generate Coast Pilot corrections, display the Coast Pilot in a real-time format, and facilitate integration with other US government products. At the seventh meeting of the SNPWG (Rostock, Germany, February 2007), Denis van der Heul (Netherlands Hydrographic Office, NLHO), gave a presentation on DigiPilot, an automated/interactive planning and navigation system that displays information including Sailing Directions, routes, radar, radio, harbour images, waypoints and more. At the last SNPWG meeting, France reported
to be working on an XML and Extensible Hyper Text Markup Language (XHTML) in which a database prototype will produce all nautical publications shortly.

In section 2 it was explained how a videoclip is the best tool to depict the real world and provide the navigator with a feeling of familiarity with the coast or harbour he is approaching. Since a videoclip is a difficult feature to convert to S-57 format, we could think that proposed Sailing Directions, based on videoclips, will not become easily a NP3.

However, a different approach could be performed to propose a strategy to merge this product with IHO specifications. This product could be added to an ECDIS, considering a link to the video file environment as a Marine information overlay (MIO). The GIS support for these video files could be the GIS software employed by the ECDIS to display the nautical chart.

As defined in the International Maritime Organization (IMO) Performance Standards for ECDIS, an “Electronic Navigational Chart (ENC) means the database, standardized as to content, structure and format, issued for use with ECDIS on the authority of government authorized hydrographic offices. The ENC contains all the chart information necessary for safe navigation and may contain supplementary information in addition to that contained in the paper chart (e.g. Sailing Directions) which may be considered necessary for safe navigation.”.

Additionally, as stated in the document HGMIO (2007) “Relationship of Marine information Objects (MIOs) to Current/Future IHO Standards” (Draft Version 1), 4 January 2007”:

“Marine information objects (MIOs) consist of supplementary information to be used with an Electronic Chart Display and Information System (ECDIS) that are not
Electronic Navigational Chart (ENC) objects or specified navigational elements or parameters. Supplementary means additional, non-mandatory information not already covered by existing International Maritime Organization (IMO), International Hydrographic Organization (IHO), and International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) standards or specifications. Current examples of MIOs include ice coverage, tide/water level, current flow, meteorological, oceanographic, and marine habitats. Depending on the navigation situation or task-at-hand, the provision and use of MIOs (e.g., ice coverage, weather conditions, etc.) can be crucial in terms of improving both the safety and efficiency of maritime navigation, as well as ensuring the protection of the marine environment (e.g., coral reef habitats).”

An MIO can be considered as a navigational layer that may contain chart-related features (lines, polygons, points, labels, colours, etc) as operational features (radar information, Automatic Identification System, etc). Figure 6.2 illustrates this concept.
At the fourth meeting of the IHO-IEC Harmonization Group on Marine information overlays (HGMIO), held at the University of New Hampshire (USA), it was decided that the acronym MIO should stand for “Marine Information Overlay”, instead of “Marine Information Object”.

Thus, considering the proposed digital Sailing Directions publication as an MIO, a link between the NP information and the ECDIS could be established. Recalling figure 6.1, we could express this idea with figure 6.3:
Figure 6.3  Marine information overlay as a direct link between nautical publication information and an ECDIS.

This link could have the disadvantage of producing duplication of information in the ECDIS. For example, the picture of a lighthouse shown by the ENC is also shown by the videoclip of proposed Sailing Directions. However, this duplication of information wouldn’t produce confusion, but complementary information. The information shown by the videoclip complements the picture shown by the ENC.
6.3 LIMITATIONS OF DIGITAL SAILING DIRECTIONS AS AN MIO. S-100 STANDARD AS A PROPER SPACE FOR DIGITAL SAILING DIRECTIONS

As explained in the previous section, MIOs are basically additional layers that, once added to the ENC display, show at a glance the required information. For example, figure 6.4 shows polygons that, added to a nautical chart as an additional layer, define the geographical area of the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary. These polygons, when added to an ENC, constitute an MIO.

![Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary](image)

Figure 6.4 Above: Florida Key National Marine Sanctuary (taken from “Coral Reef – Electronic chart Initiative: Protecting Corals, Saving Ships“ by Dr. Lee Alexander and Kathryn L. Ries). Below: features of the Sanctuary added to an ENC as an MIO.
Concerning Sailing Directions, a feature in the ENC, like a polygon, a point, or a line, could be added as the “Sailing Directions layer”. But this layer would not show at a glance any information regarding Sailing Directions. The layer should be hyperlinked to Sailing Directions information. In section 3.1, it was explained how lines, polygons or points can be linked to Sailing Directions information. A line, named a “sailing line”, is linked to a videoclip explaining the entrance along the line’s path. A group of points, named “bollards”, is linked to a videoclip explaining the berthing facilities. Even texts can be linked to these features. Nowhere in IHO standards is it said that features from an MIO cannot be hyperlinked to additional files.

However, a very basic subject slightly complicates this consideration of Sailing Directions as an MIO. As explained in section 6.1, information from Sailing Directions must be at least as organized as the traditional publication. That is to say, information from Sailing Directions must be organized following an indexed and intuitive pattern. Therefore, just clicking a polygon and popping out a videoclip or a piece of text is not enough. If the navigator wants to study a harbour entrance as effectively as reading the corresponding chapter in the traditional publication, where does he start to “click”? Who tells him which features he must click to cover all the information? Who tells him when he must change to another ENC to carry on the study (the description of a harbour may cover several ENCs)? An answer to all these questions is a basis for the development of proper digital Sailing Directions.

Assuming that the display explained in section 6.1 is the most suitable one (it answers those basic questions stated above), it would be interesting to check if it fits into the MIO concept. Suppose that each ENC has a layer named “Sailing Directions”, and that layer is
composed of several features (points, lines or polygons). By adding that layer to the table of contents of the GIS map display, all the features are displayed in the ENC. For example, a group of points would constitute the layer “bollards”, a group of polygons the layer “docks”, etc. By clicking on just the main layer in the table of contents, the PDF document and all the features in the ENC are displayed. The display will be something like the one shown in figure 5.1.

Once again, nowhere is it said that this Sailing Directions layer, and all the hyperlinked information, cannot constitute an MIO. However, one may feel that this kind of display is out of the scope of the MIO concept.. Comparing figure 5.1 (Sailing Directions display) with figure 6.4 (Marine Sanctuary layer), one might feel that they express different concepts. It seems like a Sailing Directions display does not fit exactly in the concept of “layer”. Nevertheless, since these hyperlinks are not prohibited, they can still be considered.

However, an open door to match proposed digital Sailing Directions to IHO standards, without “contaminating” the idea of MIOs as layers, is standard S-100 (IHO Geospatial standard for Hydrographic Data).

Standard S-100 appears as the result of work from IHO for reviewing standard S-57. To address S-57 limitations (inflexible maintenance regime, limited standard focused exclusively for the production and exchange of ENC data, etc) the CHNIS authorised work to begin a major revision of S-57.

The primary goal for S-100 is to be able to support a greater variety of hydrographic-related digital data sources, products and customers. This includes new applications that go beyond the scope of traditional hydrography. Figure 6.5 illustrates this idea. S-100
goals also include additional product specifications. They may be created to provide separate registers for other user communities. In such a way, Nautical Publications specifications may be created.

![Figure 6.5 S-100 standard supporting a great variety of data sources, products and services (Figure taken from “Development of IHO S-100: The New IHO Geospatial Standard for Hydrographic Data”, by Lee Alexander, Michael Brown, Barrie Greenslade, Anthony Pharaoh)

Also, MIOs are intended to develop according to S-100 standard. In the near future MIOs will continue to be S-57 based, since current ECDIS equipment is required to use ENC data conforming to S-57. However, MIOs are intended to be, in the future, independent from ENC product specification, even when used with S-57 based ENCs.

In summary, S-100 opens a wider field of specifications for digital charted-and-navigational products. With S-100, considering digital Sailing Directions as an MIO does not seem so beyond the scope of the concept “layer”. On the other hand, S-100 also opens doors to digital nautical publications. Where to include digital Sailing Directions is a matter that will be solved as long as S-100 specifications are developed. But, perhaps,
proposed digital Sailing Directions must not wait the implementation of S-100 specifications, but feedback, on the fly, S-100 implementation process by offering a development proposal.
As has been analyzed in previous sections, videoclips can be the best way to depict the real world and make the navigator familiar with the coastal environment. However, the information given in a videoclip must be carefully selected to produce an “informative” or “descriptive” product, and not an “instructive” product. Too much advice in a videoclip could complicate the legal implementation of digital Sailing Directions. A navigator could understand the advice from a videoclip as compulsory directions to be followed strictly. And, perhaps, we are constraining, in such a way, the scope of navigators’ points of view to get their best references. Therefore, those videoclips that do not match strictly with the original publication, i.e., the ones linked by the “blue” link in figure 5.2, should be clearly defined as subjective-advising content.

Digital Sailing Directions must follow the same philosophy as the traditional publication, i.e., a previous-study product. It is not intended to be used in real time by the officer on watch. Perhaps, only a printable version of some key snapshots would be useful to be employed in real time. Figure 7.1 shows a possible on-demand-printed guidance to be used in real time.
Figure 7.1  Example of printed snapshots for real time guidance
When implementing this product, filming responsibilities should be defined. Who will carry out the responsibility of filming a harbour, or a coast, for digital Sailing Directions? In a country with a short coast, that responsibility could be assumed by the Hydrographic Office. However, thinking of countries like Canada or the United States, we could assume a kind of hierarchical responsibility between different organizations. For instance, filming could be performed by port authorities, and then a process of validation could be performed by the Hydrographic Office. Filming by port authorities would be a good chance for periodical checks of docks’ state. It would also be a good opportunity to periodically check the correspondence between Sailing Directions and the nautical chart. Coast filming could be carried out by hydrographic vessels while working in corresponding campaigns.

Carrying on with the implementation issue, we must not forget the role of private companies. If digital Sailing Directions results in a useful and accepted product, some GIS related companies should want to develop this product for their own benefit. As long as they meet IHO or IMO requirements, they could develop and sell their own products. But a validation from national hydrographic offices should be a condition. On the other hand, proper software to display digital Sailing Directions information is more likely to be developed by those software private companies than by hydrographic offices. Therefore, private companies could perform an intermediate role in this production flow.

All these considerations can be summarized in figure 7.2.
Figure 7.2  Digital Sailing Directions responsibilities diagram
CHAPTER 8
CONCLUSIONS

Although the printed version of Sailing Directions must carry on being issued, it can be improved by means of its conversion to digital format. Video files attached to GIS software will give all the information reflected in that publication, and provide, in addition, some analysis tools that can improve the initial product.

Video depicts the real world, for navigator’s concerns, in a more complete way than virtual 3D real world modelling.

This product could be adapted to IHO requirements, considering a video file as a Marine information overlay (MIO). An independent screen could be linked to an ECDIS to show Sailing Directions information. S-100 standard could be the proper space to accomplish IHO requirements.

An important further step must be considered: user acceptance. Sometimes it is supposed that wonderful products must be accepted and used by clients, but sometimes, and surprisingly, clients don’t use the issued product. Concerning Sailing Directions in digital format, a testing period would need to be performed. A show port could be chosen to be developed completely according to this technique, and then, an opinion poll and user testing would confirm if it is a useful product or not.
Future tasks may include finding a company willing to build a prototype of proposed digital Sailing Directions, defining different responsibilities in the process of implementation, and regularize technical filming and GIS settings procedures.
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