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## 1. INTRODUCTION

One of the main problems facing the field of automated cartography is the sheer volume of coordinates which have to be stored to allow an accurate representation of linear features on the final map. This problem becomes even more acute when the stored data are used to produce maps at reduced scale. Usually much fewer points are necessary to represent the curve to within the required accuracy, but reduction of the number of points inevitably leads to questions which raise doubts about mathematically rigid methods being able to reproduce, upon reduction, true cartographic shape and form.

In an attempt to reduce or pack the amount of input coordinate data of a curve without losing ultimate plotting accuracy, we are presenting a mathematical packing method which, given a specified tolerance $(\varepsilon)$, i.e. the final plotting accuracy, would transform the input digitised coordinates into some other parameters of the curve. This would be done in such a way that the linear segments so produced would always be within a tube of width $\varepsilon$ surrounding the original curve. The method results in a considerable reduction of the amount of input data without loss of accuracy in final plotting. In addition it is able to perform an automatic form of cartographic generalisation in which a given curve with many convolutions can, if the appropriate error parameter $\varepsilon$ is introduced, be reduced to a simpler curve.
I.l General Description of the Method

At present the mathematical calculations are performed by one Fortran IV programme, PACK, with two major subroutines, REDOUT and UPLOT. Also included are various plotting subroutines (see section 3) to enable the user to plot both the original and packed curves on either a 611 oscilloscope or a Calcomp drum plotter.

The input to the calculations is a set of $x$ and $y$ coordinates of the line to be packed, the input and output scales, the digitiser increment, $\delta$, and the required final plotting accuracy $\varepsilon$.

The coefficients of pseudo-hyperbolae $y= \pm \frac{c_{1} x+c_{2}}{x+c_{3}}$ are determined. By taking the average direction of the first three points in the stream of coordinates, further successive points are selected until they fail to lie within a tube $\pm 8 \varepsilon$ wide. Using the beginning and end points for proper direction a rigorous check is made of points selected so they lie in a tube $\pm \varepsilon$ wide. The number of points selected is altered until this condition is met, at which time a segment length is computed.

The programme goes on to determine more segment lengths beyond the first by defining a pseudo-hyperbola with the vertex coinciding with the end of the last segment, and with axis oriented in the direction of the last line segment (figure 2.6). The next points in the coordinate stream are examined until one falls outside the defined pseudo-hyperbola, and then another line segment is identified whose end point is at the intersection of the stream of coordinates with the pseudo-hyperbola.

We choose the hyperbolic form since this is the approximate locus of the longest formable segments that would represent the curve with $\pm \varepsilon$ accuracy. The longer the segment lengths, the fewer the segments, and hence the greater the reduction in the amount of data stored. The details of this choice are given in section 2.4. A rigorous check is again made to ensure that all points lie within a tube $\pm \varepsilon$ wide around the segment. The segment's length is determined and signed positive if the line segment points above the axis, and negative below. This end point together with the preceding one determine the axis of a new hyperbola of the same family, and the process is repeated.

Thus the complete data packing consists in the production of coordinates (2 numbers per point) and interlying segment lengths (1 signed number only per segment). The points represented by two coordinates are referred to as corner points and the lengths as segments. This packed data is then stored. In order to obtain reduced coordinates from the packed data (which are not in "plottable" form) it is necessary to reverse the above procedure using the subroutine UPLOT.

This subroutine decodes into coordinate twotuples the packed data. The inputs to this routine are the two corner points, signed segment lengths and the tolerance $\varepsilon$. If only one segment is needed then two corner points alone are given and a line can be plotted. If more than one segment is needed it becomes necessary to take the signed segment lengths and compute coordinates. The coefficients of the pseudo
hyperbola $y= \pm \frac{c_{1} x+c_{2}}{x+c_{3}}$ are again calculated using the value $\varepsilon$. The initial segment is laid out in an east-west direction and directions of subsequent segments are related to it. The routine determines coordinates of intersections of line segments with the hyperbola. This is done in a local set of coordinates where the hyperbola vertex is related to the terminal point of the previous segment and its axis is rotated to the direction of the previous segment.

Using the final corner point these local coordinates are rotated and stretched so that the line segments are in the required direction and at the required scale. The output coordinates from UPLOT are then suitable for plotting.

The system documented here contains plotting routines for the University of New Brunswick's IBM 370 computer plotting system. After data packing and decoding, the original and packed curves are plotted out and hardcopy is obtained from the 611 oscilloscope. A "packing factor" is then calculated, being the ratio of the input number of points to the packed number of points. This is then printed along with details of input and output scales, and error values.

## 2. THE MATHEMATICAL BASIS OF THE METHOD

### 2.1 Digitized Curve

Let us consider an open, continuous, smooth curve $C$ extending between initial and final points $\vec{r}_{1}=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \vec{r}_{N}=\left(x_{N}, y_{N}\right)$ with $\vec{r}_{i}$ denoting the radius vectors. We shall call the digitized image of $C$, $C^{*}$, as a series of points $\overrightarrow{r_{i}^{*}}=\left(x_{i}^{*}, y_{i}^{*}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, N$, representing $C$ in the form of a set of isolated points. These points coincide with appropriate intersection points of a $\delta$-square-grid, whose dimension $\delta$ is given by the last retained binary (decimal) place - see figure 2.1.


### 2.2 Representation of a Curve

We shall say that a curve C' represents C with a precision $\varepsilon$ (strictly $l / \varepsilon$ ) if and only if every point $\overrightarrow{r^{\prime} \varepsilon C^{\prime}}$ lies within the $\varepsilon$ environment of at least one point $\vec{r} \varepsilon C$ and $C^{\prime}$ is continuous.

Note that if we regard the digitized curve C* as piece-wise
linear curve with the points of discontinuous first derivative coin-
ciding with $\vec{r}^{*}$ 's, we can say that $C^{*}$ represents $C$ with precision $\delta$. In the forthcoming development we shall always assume $\varepsilon>\delta$ and shall refer to the representation of $C$ as actually the representation of $C^{*}$.

### 2.3 Purpose of Coding

The number of digitized points $\overrightarrow{r^{*}}$, as usually supplied by a digitizer, is generally unnecessarily large to represent $C$ with the required precision $\varepsilon$. If we intend to store the digitized image $C^{*}$ on any kind of medium, we are evidently interested in keeping the amount of retained information to a minimum. The problem becomes more pressing whenever we store an excessive number of such curves as is the case with cartography, pictorial images or many other practical digitized images.

Thus the ultimate aim of an optimum coding will be to replace C* by such a curve $C^{\prime}$ which:- (i) is continuous; (ii) has minimum number of representative points $\overrightarrow{r^{\prime}}$ expressed by minimum necessary number of parameters; (iii) represents $C^{*}$ with required precision $\varepsilon$.

Another requirement, particular to cartographic applications, is that $C^{\prime}$ representing a smooth curve should "look smooth" to the eye. Since this requirement does not lend itself to an easy mathematical formulation, it will be assumed that $\varepsilon$ can be selected in such a way that C' "looks smooth" enough when plotted. In other words, we assume that if $\varepsilon$ corresponds to the graphical precision of plotting (usually about. . 1 mm ) it will take care of this aspect automatically.

Our approach will be based on the piece-wise linear representation assuming thus availability of a linear plotter only. If a more
flexible plotter, that will plot circular or parabolic arcs as well, is available further reduction in the necessary number of parameters may be achieved either by simply increasing the value of $\varepsilon$ or through adding some qualifying criteria to the technique. This, however, will not be the aim of this report but a subject for future development.

### 2.4 Maximum Allowable Length of Linear Segments

It is obvious that the maximum length of a linear segment, that is to replace the original curved segment with precision $\varepsilon$, is inversely proportional to the curvature of the original segment. The larger the curvature, the shorter the linear segment and vice versa. The following formula can be deduced from figure 2.2 for the relationship of the linear segment $\overline{\Delta_{S}}$ and the linear deviation $d R$ of the linear and curved segment $\Delta S$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\Delta_{S}}=\sqrt{ }\left(8 R d R-4 d R^{2}\right) . \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fere $R$ is the local radius of curvature. According to 2.2, we can


$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { allow } d R \text { to become as large } \\
& \text { as } \varepsilon \text { for the maximum segment } \\
& \overline{\Delta S}_{\max } \text {. We can hence write: } \\
& \overline{\Delta S}_{\max }=\sqrt{ }\left(8 R \varepsilon-4 \varepsilon^{2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

To illustrate the quantities we are dealing with we can draw a table showing the relationship of $R$ and $\overline{\Delta S}_{\max }$ for $\varepsilon=.7 \mathrm{~mm}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{lcccccccc}
\mathrm{R}[\mathrm{~mm}] & 0.2 & 0.3 & 0.4 & 0.5 & 1 & 5 & 10 & 100 \\
\overline{\Delta S}_{\max }[\mathrm{mm}] & 0.40 & 0.49 & 0.57 & 0.63 & 0.90 & 2.00 & 2.83 & 8.95 \\
& & \\
& \text { Table 2.1 } & & & &
\end{array}
$$

Considering a digitized curve $C^{*}, 100 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, consisting of 4000 points $\overrightarrow{r^{*}} .025 \mathrm{~mm}$ apart, we get the minimum number of linear segments necessary to represent $C^{*}$ with precision $\varepsilon=.1 \mathrm{~mm}$, lll, 50, 35, 11 corresponding to mean radii of curvature of $1,5,10,100 \mathrm{~mm}$ respectively. Hence, defining the packing factor as $\frac{\text { no. of input points }}{\text { no. of output points }}$ we get for the packing factor between $C^{*}$ and $C^{\prime}: 36,80,114,364$ respectively.

```
2.5 Reduction in the Necessary Number of Parameters
Having established the maximum spacing of the representative points \(\overrightarrow{r^{\prime}} \varepsilon C^{\prime}\) (as related to the local radius of curvature) we can show that it is not necessary to identify each of those points by a pair of coordinates. For this purpose, let us transform the original coordinates \(x_{i}, y_{i}\) of a point \(\vec{r}_{i+1}^{\prime}\) into the local coordinates \(\overline{\Delta S}_{i}\), \(\alpha_{i}\) (see figure 2.3).
```



Fiqure 2.3

If $\overline{\Delta S}_{i}$ is made a function of $\alpha_{i}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\Delta S}_{i}=f\left(\alpha_{i}\right), \quad \alpha_{i}=f^{-1}\left(\overline{\Delta S}_{i}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

we do not have to retain both $\overline{\Delta S}_{i}$ and $\alpha_{i}$ since the knowledge of one of these is sufficient to furnish us with the other coordinate. Thus, providing the relationship $\overline{\Delta S}=f(\alpha)$ is established, a curve can be represented by a stream of single parameters, rather than a stream of pairs of coordinates, which may lead to a considerable saving of storage medium.

The question remains as how to select the function $f$ to satisfy the other requirements. We are going to show that the selection can be done in such a way that $f$ is the approximate locus $L$ of all the $\overline{\Delta S}_{\max }$.

Providing the curve $C$ has approximately the same curvature in the vicinity of $\vec{r}_{i}^{\prime}$, we can, according to figure 2.4 write:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \cos \frac{\alpha}{2}+d R \doteq R . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting again $\varepsilon$ for $d R$ and $\left(\widetilde{\Delta S}_{\max }^{2}+4 \varepsilon^{2}\right) /(8 \varepsilon)$ for
$R$ (from eq. 2) we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \frac{\alpha}{2} \dot{=} 1-\frac{8 \varepsilon^{2}}{\overline{\Delta S}_{\max }^{2}+4 \varepsilon^{2}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and after some development, using trig. identity $\tan ^{2} \frac{\alpha}{4}=\frac{1-\cos (\alpha / 2)}{1+\cos (\alpha / 2)}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \doteq 4 \operatorname{arctg} \frac{2 \varepsilon}{\stackrel{\Delta S}{\max }^{ \pm}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fiqure 2.4

The derivation of eq. (6) is based on the assumption of almost uniform curvature in the vicinity of $\overrightarrow{r_{i}^{\prime}}$ which may or may not be fully satisfied. In any case, it can be regarded as an approximate formula and no considerable damage will be done if we replace it by another yet approximate relationship more convenient for numerical treatment. The only result of such approximation will be that the $\Delta S$ 's will not be the absolute allowable maximum and therefore the reduction will not be the absolute maximum. This should not be unduly worrying since we shall, in practice, be dealing with $C^{*}$ instead of $C$ and have therefore to expect some irregularities due to the limited precision in digitizing that will "spoil" the smoothness of $C$.

To make the development of an approximate equation of the locus easier, let us introduce a local right-handed coordinate system $\xi$, n see figure 2.5.


Figure 2.5

Transforming $\alpha, \overline{\Delta S}_{\max }$ to $\xi, n$ we get the equation of the locus (6) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\operatorname{arctg} \eta / \xi \doteq 4 \operatorname{arctg} \frac{2 \varepsilon}{\sqrt{ }\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (7) can be rewritten as

$$
\operatorname{arccotg} \xi / n \doteq 4 \operatorname{arccotg} \frac{\sqrt{ }\left(\xi^{2}+n^{2}\right)}{2 \varepsilon} .
$$

Considering the trig. identity

$$
\operatorname{arccotg} x=\arccos \frac{x}{\sqrt{\left(1+x^{2}\right)}}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\arccos \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{ }\left(\xi^{2}+n^{2}\right)} \doteq 4 \arccos \sqrt{ } \frac{\xi^{2}+n^{2}}{\xi^{2}+n^{2}+4 \varepsilon^{2}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\quad \xi / \sqrt{ }\left(\xi^{2}+n^{2}\right)=\cos \left(4 \arccos \sqrt{ } \frac{\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}}{\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}+4 \varepsilon^{2}}\right)=\tau_{4}\left(\sqrt{\xi^{2}+n^{2}} \xi^{2}+\eta^{2}+4 \varepsilon^{2} \quad\right)$

Here $\tau_{4}$ is the Tchebyshev's polynomial of 4-th order (see, for instance, Ralston, 1965). After rewriting $\tau_{4}$ in the form of power series (polynomial of 4 th order in $\sqrt{ } \frac{\xi^{2}+n^{2}}{\xi^{2}+n^{2}+4 \varepsilon^{2}}$ ) we obtain the final expression as a mixed algebraic polynomial of 20 th order in $\xi$ and $n$. Such a polynomial would not obviously be convenient for numerical computation either and has therefore to be approximated by simpler formula.

It can be shown, using numerical evaluation of eq. (6)
that each branch of $L$ may be approximated by a hyperbola:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{\prime}(\xi) \equiv n=\frac{c_{1} \xi+c_{2}}{\xi+c_{3}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficients $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ can be determined, for instance, by using the least-squares technique for the whole curve or more simply (and less precisely) on the basis of three common points.

In our case, the three points were selected in such a way as to provide an easy computation. Using formula (6) one gets

$$
\overline{\Delta S}_{\max }=2 \varepsilon / \operatorname{tg} \frac{\alpha}{4}
$$

On the other hand: $\overline{\Delta S}_{\max }=\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}$. Hence for $\alpha=\frac{\pi}{2}$ we get $\xi=0$ and $\eta=\overline{\Delta S}_{\max }=2 \varepsilon / \operatorname{tg} \frac{\pi}{8} \doteq 4.828 \varepsilon$. For $\alpha=\frac{\pi}{4}$ we have $\xi=n=\overline{\Delta S}_{\max } / \sqrt{ } 2=$ $2 \varepsilon /\left(\sqrt{ } 2 \operatorname{tg} \frac{\pi}{16}\right) \doteq 7.110 \varepsilon$. The third point was selected for $\xi=1000 \varepsilon$. Developing formula (7) into power series in $2 \varepsilon / \sqrt{ }\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)=q$ one gets $n / \xi=4 q+$ terms of $4 t h$ and higher order in $q$. Thus, for large $\xi:$

$$
\eta \stackrel{\prime}{=} \xi \frac{8 \varepsilon}{\sqrt{ }\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)}=\frac{8 \varepsilon}{\sqrt{ }\left(1+\eta^{2} / \xi^{2}\right)}=8 \varepsilon\left(1-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\eta^{2}}{\xi^{2}}+\ldots\right)
$$

which tends to $8 \varepsilon$ for growing $\xi$. Hence, we shall not make any serious mistake taking $n=8 \varepsilon$ for $\xi=1000 \varepsilon$.

Using the selected three points one obtains:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}=8.00895 \varepsilon, c_{2}=13.615 \varepsilon^{2}, c_{3}=2.82 \varepsilon \tag{II}
\end{equation*}
$$

These values provide us with L' good enough for all practical purposes. The shape of $L^{\prime}$ can be seen on figure 2.6 .


Figure 2.6.
2.6 Determination of the "next" Representative Point

Once we have decided to adopt a certain $L^{\prime}$, the determination of the "next" point becomes easy. Having two consecutive representative points $\vec{r}_{i-1}^{\prime}, \vec{r}_{i}^{\prime}$ described by their pairs of coordinates $\left(x_{i-1}, y_{i-1}\right)$, $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$, we can transform all the subsequent points belonging to $C^{*}$ into the local $\xi, n$ system of the point $\vec{r}_{i}^{\prime}$. If $\vec{r}^{*}=(x, y)$ is a running point from C* we have for its local coordinates:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \xi=T_{1}\left(x-x_{i}\right)-T_{2}\left(y-y_{i}\right)  \tag{12}\\
& n=T_{2}\left(x-x_{i}\right)+T_{1}\left(y-y_{i}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{1}=\left(x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right) / \sqrt{ }\left[\left(x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{i}-y_{i-1}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& T_{2}=-\left(y_{i}-y_{i-1}\right) / \sqrt{ }\left[\left(x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{i}-y_{i-1}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, we can take the sequence of all $\overrightarrow{r^{*}}$ 's following $\overrightarrow{r_{i}^{\prime}}$, compute their $\xi$ and $\eta$ coordinates and decide whether each of them lies either within or outside the area bound by $\pm L^{\prime}(\xi)$. If the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
|n| \leq\left|L^{\prime}(\xi)\right| \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a point $(\xi, \eta)$ is satisfied, the point lies in the area and vice versa. Hence, we eventually find a pair of running points, $\vec{r}_{k}^{*}$ and $\overrightarrow{r_{\mathrm{k}}^{*}+1}$ say, of which the first lies within and the second outside the area. If the whole "rest of $C^{*}$ " lies within the area, then the end point is taken instead of $\overrightarrow{r_{i+1}^{\prime}}$, its coordinatesare retained and the segment is not computed because it is not needed. The point $\vec{r}_{i}^{\prime}$ is declared a "corner point" (see later) and retained by coordinates. Otherwise the "next" representative point $\overrightarrow{r_{i+1}^{\prime}}$ is the point, where $L^{\prime}(\xi)$ intersects the straight line connecting $\overrightarrow{r_{k}^{*}}$ with $\vec{r}_{\mathrm{k}+1}^{*}$ (see figure 2.7).


Figure 2.7

To establish $\overrightarrow{r_{i}}$, with the required precision, any numerical method may be used. In our approach we have opted for the "chord method" which is likely to converge fast since $L^{\prime}$ is ever-increasing and relatively flat. Because $\pm L^{\prime}$ is concave, the approximation of $\overrightarrow{r_{i}^{\prime}}$, $\vec{r}_{i+1}$ say, will always lie between $\vec{r}_{k}^{*}, \vec{r}_{i+1}^{\prime}$ and $\vec{r}_{i+1}$ can be thus taken instead of $\vec{r}_{k}^{*}$ for the next iteration. The chord method, therefore, will furnish a succession of points on $\overrightarrow{r_{k}^{*}}, \overrightarrow{r_{i}^{\prime}}$, converging to $\overrightarrow{r_{i}}$, the faster the further away we are from $\overrightarrow{r_{i}^{\prime}}$.

Providing the point $\overrightarrow{r_{i+1}^{\prime}}$, selected on the basis of the last iteration, is made to lie on $\pm \mathrm{L}^{\prime}(\xi)$ in a relatively narrow environment of $\vec{r}_{i+1}^{\prime}$ - which can be achieved by taking $\eta_{i+1}=L^{\prime}\left(\xi_{i+1}\right)$ for $\xi_{i+1}$ belonging to the last iteration $\vec{r}_{i+1}$ - it can be as much away from $\overrightarrow{r_{k}^{*}}$, $\overrightarrow{r_{k+1}^{*}}$ as $\pm \delta / 2$. $C^{*}$ represents $C$ with precision $\delta / 2$ so that it would not make sense to insist on the representative points to perform any better fit to $C^{*}$ than $\pm \delta / 2$.

Once $\xi_{i+1}, \eta_{i+1}$ are obtained, we can compute the quasimaximum segment $\overline{\Delta S_{i}^{\prime}}$, determining the position of $\overrightarrow{r!}$ uniquely with respect to $\overrightarrow{r_{i-1}^{\prime}}, \overrightarrow{r_{i}^{\prime}}$, from following formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\Delta S}_{i}^{\prime}=\operatorname{sign}\left(n_{i+1}\right) \sqrt{ }\left(\xi_{i+1}^{2}+n_{i+1}^{2}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coordinates $x_{i+1}, y_{i+1}$ of $\overrightarrow{r_{i+1}^{!}}$, necessary for locating the next representative point $\overrightarrow{r_{i}}$, , are obtained by applying the transformation inverse to (12):

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{i+1}=x_{i}+T_{1} \xi_{i+1}+T_{2} n_{i+1}  \tag{16}\\
& y_{i+1}=y_{i+1}-T_{2} \xi_{i+1}+T_{1} n_{i+1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Note that from the point of view of error propagation, the segments $\overline{\Delta S}$ ' can be considered as errorless, i.e. if we do not commit any error in the decoding process we would end up with the curve $C^{\prime}$ representing $C^{*}$ in the manner described above. More will be said about this subject later.

### 2.7 Initiation of the Process

The process described in the previous paragraph is able to determine only the position of a "next" point with the assumption that the two immediately preceding points from $C^{\prime}$ are already known. Thus, it cannot obviously be applied at the beginning and we have to establish the first segment by using an altogether different approach, i.e. we have to initiate the process somehow.

The initiation should provide us with as long a segment as can be achieved for the actual $C^{*}$ and $\varepsilon$. The first reason is that we try to represent $C^{*}$ by as few points as possible. The second, more important reason, is that the technique using the locus $L^{\prime}$ is based on the idea that both segments $\overline{\Delta S}_{i-1}^{\prime}$ and $\overline{\Delta S}_{i}^{\prime}$ are about the same, since $C$ is assumed to have in the vicinity of $r_{i}^{\prime}$ an approximately uniform curvature. If we chose the first segment too short, the second shall be too long and vice versa. The following segments would be influenced accordingly.

An iterative approach was hence devised that selects for $\overrightarrow{r_{2}^{\prime}}\left(\overrightarrow{r_{1}^{\prime}}\right.$ remaining equal to $\left.\overrightarrow{r_{1}^{*}}\right)$ such a point $\overrightarrow{r_{k}^{*}}$ which
(i) is furthest away from $\overrightarrow{r_{1}^{*}}$;
(ii) yet still all the points $\overrightarrow{r_{j}^{*}}, j<k$, lie no further than $\pm \varepsilon$ away from $\vec{r}_{i}^{*} \vec{r}_{\dot{i}}^{*} \equiv p_{k}$.
The equation of the line $p_{k}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{k} \equiv A_{o k}+A_{l k} x+A_{2 k} y=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{o k}=y_{1} /\left(y_{k}-y_{1}\right)-x_{1} /\left(x_{k}-x_{1}\right) \\
& A_{1 k}=1 /\left(x_{k}-x_{1}\right)  \tag{18}\\
& A_{2 k}=-1 /\left(y_{k}-y_{1}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The distance $d$ of a running point $\overrightarrow{r^{*}}=(x, y)$ from $p_{k}$ is given by (see, for instance Bush and Obreanu, 1965):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{\left|A_{0}+A_{1} x+A_{2} y\right|}{\sqrt{ }\left(A_{1}^{2}+A_{2}^{2}\right)}=\left|B_{0}+B_{1} x+B_{2} y\right| . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

This distance must, for all $\overrightarrow{r_{j}^{*}}, j<k$, be smaller than $\varepsilon$. Thus, after some development we may write following inequality to be satisfied for all the $\overrightarrow{r_{j}^{*}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A_{l k}\left(y_{i}-y_{j}\right)+A_{2 k}\left(x_{l}-x_{j}\right)\right)^{2} \leqslant(\overline{\Delta S} \varepsilon)^{2} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\Delta S}^{2}=\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{l}}\right)^{2}+\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{k}}-\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{l}}\right)^{2} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can notice that evaluation of (20) does require adding, subtracting and multiplication only and is, therefore, quite fast for computation. The index $k$ can be iterated for so long until (20) becomes satisfied for $k=n$ and fails for $k=n+1$. Then $\overrightarrow{r_{n}^{*}}=\overrightarrow{r_{i}^{\prime}}$. If (20) is satisfied even for the end point of the curve then only the first and the end points are retained.
$2.8 \varepsilon$ Test
There is one more point, within the process based on locus, that must not escape our attention. It is evident that finding $\vec{r}_{i+1}^{\prime}$ as the intersection of $L^{\prime}$ and $C^{*}$ does not automatically ensure that all the points $\vec{r}^{*} \varepsilon C^{*}$ between $\overrightarrow{r_{i}^{\prime}}$ and $\vec{r}_{i+1}^{\prime}$ lie within the distance of $\varepsilon$ from $C^{\prime}$ (represented here by the straight line joining $\vec{r}_{i}^{\prime}$ and $\vec{r}_{i+1}^{\prime}$ ). It is conceivable that if the curvature of $C$ in the area changes rapidly the assumption for the method does not hold any more and the locus $\mathrm{L}^{\prime}$ looses its fundamental meaning. In such a case, we have to declare $\overrightarrow{r_{i}^{\prime}}$ the "corner" point and start again with initiating the process in exactly the same manner as described in section 2.7 .

To check whether the $\pm \varepsilon$ belt around $C^{\prime}$ contains all the points $\overrightarrow{r^{*}} \varepsilon\left(\overrightarrow{r_{i}^{\prime}}, \overrightarrow{r_{i}^{\prime}+1}\right)$ the inequality (20) can be used. When substituting $(0,0)$ for $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),(\xi, n)$ for $\left(x_{k}, y_{k}\right)$ and $\left(\xi_{j}, n_{j}\right)$ for $\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right)$ the inequality simplifies considerably and we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|n \xi_{j}-\xi n_{j}\right| \leqslant\left|{\overline{\Delta S_{i}^{\prime}}}_{i}\right| \varepsilon . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.9 Decoding of the Curve $C^{\prime}$

The described method supplies us with a coded version of C'. The $C^{\prime}$ is presented as a stream of pairs of coordinates ( $x, y$ ), belonging to the corner points, with varying number of sections $\overline{\Delta S}$ ' sandwiched in between any two adjacent coordinate pairs. The decoding will be necessary to apply always on the succession of segment between two adjacent corner points. Its goal will be to attach a pair of coordinates (in the $x, y$ system) to the end of each segment, i.e. to each of the representative points.

Let us consider such a "smooth" piece $C_{1}^{\prime}$ of $C^{\prime}$, coded as

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i}^{\prime} \equiv\left\{x_{1}, y_{1}, \overline{\Delta S}_{1}^{\prime}, \overline{\Delta S}_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \overline{\Delta S}_{n-1}^{\prime}, x_{n}, y_{n}\right\} \subset C^{\prime} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is not difficult to see that each $\overline{\Delta S_{i}^{\prime}}$ can be split into the two coordinate increments $\xi_{i+1}, \eta_{i+1}$ related, as in section 2.5 , to the local right-handed coordinate system originating in $\overrightarrow{r_{i}^{\prime}}$ with $\xi_{i-1}=-\left|\overline{\Delta S_{i}^{\prime}}\right|$. To split it, we can use the formula (10) in conjunction with the Pythagoras law:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{i+1}^{2}+n_{i+1}^{2}=\overline{\Delta S}_{i}^{\prime 2} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (24) express $\overline{\Delta S}_{i}^{\prime 2}-\xi_{i+1}^{2}$ as $\left(\left|\overline{\Delta S}_{i}^{\prime}\right|-\xi_{i+1}\right)\left(\left|\overline{\Delta S}_{i}^{\prime}\right|+\xi_{i+1}\right)$ and substitute for $\eta_{i+1}$ from eq. (10). It then follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{i+1}=\left|\overline{\Delta S}_{i}^{\prime}\right|-\frac{\left(c_{1} \xi_{i+1}+c_{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(\xi_{i+1}+\left|\overline{\Delta S}_{i}^{\prime}\right|\right)\left(\xi_{i+1}+c_{3}\right)^{2}}=\left|\overline{\Delta S}_{i}^{\prime}\right|-Q \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $Q$ being a function of $\left|\overline{\Delta S}_{i}^{\prime}\right|$ and $\xi_{i+1}$ only. This equation can be regarded as a recurrence formula for $\xi_{i+1}$, and $\xi_{i+1}$ can be determined by an iterative process using (25). The convergence of such a process is ensured and is the faster the larger is $\left|\overline{\Delta S}{ }_{i}^{\prime}\right|$.

Once $\xi_{i+1}$ is established with sufficient precision, $\eta_{i+1}$ can be computed from eq. (24) taking into account the sign of $\overline{\Delta S}_{i}^{\prime}$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{i+1}=\operatorname{sign}\left(\overline{\Delta S}_{i}^{\prime}\right) \sqrt{ }\left(\overline{\Delta S}_{i}^{2}-\xi_{i+1}^{2}\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coordinate increments $\xi_{i+1}, \eta_{i+1}$ can then be transformed into the reference coordinate system $x^{\prime}$, $y^{\prime}$ for which we can take the local system of $\overrightarrow{r_{1}^{\prime}}$, i.e. we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{1}^{\prime}=0, \mathrm{y}_{1}^{\prime}=0, \mathrm{x}_{2}^{\prime}=\left|\overline{\Delta S}_{1}^{\prime}\right|, \mathrm{y}_{2}^{\prime}=0 . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{i+1}^{\prime}=x_{i}^{\prime}+T_{1}^{\prime} \xi_{i+1}-T_{2}^{\prime} \eta_{i+1} \\
& y_{i+1}^{\prime}=y_{i}^{\prime}+T_{2}^{\prime} \xi_{i+1}+T_{1}^{\prime} \eta_{i+1} \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{1}^{\prime}=\left(x_{i}^{\prime}-x_{i-1}^{\prime}\right) /\left|{\overline{\Delta S_{i-1}^{\prime}}}_{i}\right| \\
& T_{2}^{\prime}=\left(y_{i}^{\prime}-y_{i-1}^{\prime}\right) /\left|{\widehat{\Delta S_{i-1}^{\prime}}}_{i}^{\prime}\right| . \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

Continuing in the described way, we eventually end up with the coordinates of the last point, $x_{n}^{\prime}, y_{n}^{\prime}$. The final coordinates $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, n$, can be obtained by another transformation yet:

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{i}=x_{1}+T_{1}^{\prime \prime} x_{i}^{\prime}-T_{2}^{\prime \prime} y_{i}^{\prime}  \tag{30}\\
& y_{i}=y_{1}+T_{2}^{\prime \prime} x_{i}^{\prime}+T_{1}^{\prime \prime} y_{i}^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{1}^{\prime \prime}=\left(y_{n}^{\prime}\left(y_{n}-y_{l}\right)+x_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{n}-x_{l}\right)\right) / D \\
& T_{2}^{\prime \prime}=\left(x_{n}^{\prime}\left(y_{n}-y_{l}\right)-y_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{n}-x_{l}\right)\right) / D  \tag{31}\\
& D=\sqrt{n}\left(x_{n}^{\prime}+y_{n}^{\prime}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Three things should be noted here:
(i) For the transformation (30,31) to work, C' must be an open curve - as required in section 2.1.
(ii) Should the need arise to transform the coded C' into a new coordinate system by a conformal transformation, only the corner points have to be transformed. The linear segments may be regarded as "shape parameters" which are not liable to change under any conformal transformation.
(iii) The value of $\varepsilon$, used for determining the coefficients $c_{1}, c_{2}$, $c_{3}$ when coding the curve, must be used for computing the coefficients $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ in eqns. $(25,26)$ necessary for decoding the $C^{\prime}$.
2.10 Propagation of errors and required precision

We require the maximum error in the position of any point of $C^{\prime}$ to be smaller than $\varepsilon$. Due to the conformal transformation represented by eq. (30), the error in the end point as well as the error in the
first point will vanish and we can expect the maximum error to occur for $\vec{r}_{n / 2}^{\prime}$. We shall therefore investigate what precision is required in iterating the $\xi^{\prime}$ s from eq. (25) to obtain the position error of $\vec{r}_{n / 2}^{\prime}$ smaller than $\varepsilon$.

Denoting the errors in coordinates, $x_{i}, y_{i}$ by $\delta x_{i}, \delta y_{i}$ we define the position error $\delta_{i}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\delta_{i}\right|=V\left(\delta x_{i}^{2}+\delta y_{i}^{2}\right) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the requirement is that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\delta_{n / 2}\right|<\varepsilon . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming the transformation coefficients $T_{1}^{\prime \prime}$, $\mathrm{T}_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ in eq. (30) to be smaller or equal to 1 , i.e. assuming that the segments are expressed in equal or larger scale than the coordinates $x, y$, we get the most pessimistic estimate of $\delta x$, $\delta y$ from following formulae:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\delta \mathrm{x}|<\sqrt{ } 2\left|\delta^{\prime}\right| \quad|\delta \mathrm{y}|<\sqrt{ } 2\left|\delta^{\prime}\right| \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta^{\prime}$ is the error in either $x^{\prime}$ or $y^{\prime}$. Hence, we may write:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\delta_{i}\right| \leqslant \sqrt{ }\left(2 \delta_{i}^{2}+2 \delta_{i}^{2}\right)=2\left|\delta_{i}^{\prime}\right| \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, $x_{k}^{\prime}, y_{k}^{\prime}$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{k}^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \Delta x_{i}^{\prime}, \quad y_{k}^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \Delta y_{i}^{\prime} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta x_{i}^{\prime}, \Delta y_{i}^{\prime}$ are given by eq. (28).

Thus, denoting by $\delta \Delta_{i}$ the error in either $\Delta x_{i}^{\prime}$ or $\Delta y_{i}^{\prime}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\delta_{k}^{\prime}\right| \doteq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \delta \Delta_{i}^{2} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

providing the individual $\delta \Delta_{i}^{2}$ are distributed more or less at random. Taking all the $\delta \Delta_{i}$ equal to $\delta \Delta$ we end up with the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\delta_{k}^{\prime}\right| \doteq \sqrt{k}|\delta \Delta| \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\delta_{k}\right| \leq 2 \sqrt{k}|\delta \Delta| \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

The transformation coefficients in eq. (28) are again smaller or equal to l. Hence the combined influence of the errors $\delta \xi, \delta \eta$, in $\xi$ and $\eta$, is at most 2 -times larger than that of the individual error $\delta \xi$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\delta \Delta| \leqslant \sqrt{ } 2|\delta \xi| \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and substitution of (40) into (39) yeilds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\delta_{k}\right| \leqslant 2 \sqrt{ }(2 k)|\delta \xi| \tag{4I}
\end{equation*}
$$

The criterion for $\delta \xi$ can thus be set up using eq. (33):

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\delta \xi| \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2 \sqrt{ }(2 n / 2)}=\frac{\varepsilon}{2 \sqrt{n}} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to achieve the required precision in position, each $\xi$, iterated from eq. (25), must be determined with a precision better than $\varepsilon /(2 \sqrt{n})$.

How do we recognize that the required precision has been reached during the process of iteration? For this purpose, let us introduce a magnitude $\delta s$ given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta s=|\overline{\Delta S} \cdot|-\sqrt{ }\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}(\xi)\right) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n(\xi)$ is prescribed by eq. (10). Obviously $|\delta s|>|\delta \xi|$ and $\delta \xi$ in eq. (42) can be replaced by $\delta s$. From the computing point of view it is convenient thoughtoevaluate $\delta$ s from an approximate equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta s \doteq\left(\xi^{2}+\left(\frac{c_{1} \xi+c_{2}}{\xi+c_{3}}\right)^{2}-\overline{\Delta S}^{\prime 2}\right) /\left(2 \overline{\Delta S^{\prime}}\right) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the final criterion which must be satisfied for the last iteration of $\xi$ reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\xi^{2}+\left(\frac{c_{1} \xi+c_{2}}{\xi+c_{3}}\right)^{2}-\overline{\Delta S^{\prime}}{ }^{2}\right|<\frac{\left|\overline{\Delta S^{\prime}}\right|_{\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{ } \mathrm{n}} . \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. PROGRAMMES AND PARAMETERS

The programmes and subroutines presently form an integrated packing and plotting package, and are dimensioned to accept 5000 points per curve. They are written in Fortran IV language and have been tested on the University of New Brunswick's IBM 370/155 computer, and the Univac 1108 and PDP-10 computer of the Department of Energy, Mines and Resources, Ottawa. All times and storage refer to the 370 system using the level G compiler. To pack one line with 375 points in it (the example shown in Appendix A) required 0.2 seconds. The plotting routines on the 611 oscilloscope required a further 5 seconds. The storage necessary depends on the number of points per curve, and is presently 129,264 bytes. These requirements include the university's system generated plotting routines. The results and restrictions of the package in its present form are listed in section 4. This section deals only with the programming requirements of each routine.

### 3.1 Main Programme PACK

Storage: $126_{2} 032$ bytes in single precision
Subroutines called: REDOUT, UPLOT, AREA, GRID, SETPLT, NOWPLT,
ENDPLT, PRNTCH
Input Parameters: Input parameters are given as though the data were on punched cards.

Card 1: Format 2F4.0. The allowable plotting error at reduced scale in micrometres, ERR. This is followed by the least count of the digitiser in micrometres, DELTA.

Card 2: Format I4. The number of points in the line whose coordinates follow, N. This can, with a minor change, be left open for on-line work.

Card 3: Format 2II0. The denominator of the scale of the input data, ISD. This is followed by the denominator of the scale of the output data, IOSD.

It should be pointed out at this point that it is perfectly possible to stop the technique after the packing process. The programme subroutine UPLOT, which reduces the packed data to the scale required for output can be called at a later date. In this way only packed parameters are stored, thus cutting down on storage space. At the same time the option exists, just before final plotting, to change the scale of the output. The present version is set up for simultaneous packing and plotting.

Rest of cards: Format 5 (F7.0, IX, F7.0, IX). The $x$ and $y$ coordinates of the points on the line, 5 points per card, as shown in figure 3.1. It follows that these should be N/5 data cards with coordinates.

| $C A R D$ | $x$ |  | $x$ |  | $x$ |  | － |  | $x$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| （a1\％ | $0^{\circ}$ | 1 | － 1 ， |  | 0012032 | a） | 0013061 |  | 13173 |  |
| Ot 15 | －1＇ | 1036？ 7 | C1E4C1 | OODE6．91 | $0013=10$ | 9096502 | 0012537 | 0025592 | 0） 13741 | 0026531 |
| （5） 16 | C01：30 | －9？647？ | CCIEcc？ | 002F42？ | C014097 | 0036359 | 001420？ | 0026325 | 0014337 | 0026265 |
| 0517 | $\therefore 01414$ | 90\％ 191 | 014ヒブ | ccola | 0144\％ | 00？6040 | 0014767 | 0025955 | 0014871 | 0025889 |
| 0518 | ¢014？ | － | $0) 15 c c 3$ | 06,5730 | CO15909 | 0025707 | 0015．327 | 0025659 | 0015471 | 0025559 |
| 051 | Cols－61 | 9⿵冂5425 | C61647C | conecfa | 001575 | त०तदान？ | 0015107 | 0025061 | 0015053 | 0025011 |
| 9520 | ○○140， | $\cdots 111$ | （1） 14005 | coocal | 0014740 | 0024050 | 0014643 | 0024901 | 0014495 | 0024961 |
| 0521 | $0014 \%$ | ， 094779 | $014 \%$ | 603468？ | 0014151 | 0024507 | 0014049 | 0024515 | 0013997 | 0024609 |
| 0522 | $\therefore 013$ | ） 374765 | Colこと天 | C0．4033 | C01200 | 0304961 | 0017869 | 0025111 | 0013783 | 0025231 |
| 0623 | Col ara | 002634 | 001760 | cos－21 | 0012455 | 00？ 5 545 | 0012389 | 0025597 | 0013191 | 0025567 |
| 0524 | （012103 | 0， 0601 | C61 693 | C0057c7 | colzocz | 0の258マ？ | 0012015 | 00350 | 0010757 | 0026023 |
| 0525 | C1－6：？ | $\therefore 3$ | 人12411 | CO2ESC5 | 0012261 | 0025901 | 0012109 | 0025709 | 0012050 | 0025591 |
| 0526 | C01601 | 9135627 | C．117：$=$ | c020485 | col 1707 | 0025761 | 0011699 | 0025230 | 0011797 | 0025292 |
| $05 \geq ?$ | C01：30－ | 6هつ－ 11 | ब11c7E |  | col2ces | ○○つ5С C－ | 0012021 | 023549 | त०12351 | 0025413 |
| 0529 | （01344\％ | 人00： | CN2 27 | CaOE－12 | 0012651 | 0025660 | 0012743 | 0025633 | 0012807 | 0025607 |
| ）500 | （1）？ | ○○つ54．30 | $0 \times 1061$ | O32－282 | c012813 | 0025269 | 0012755 | $0025 ? 17$ | 0012671 | 0025189 |
| 0530 | C01 ： 0000 | 005141 | O） 1 ¢ ¢ 5 | 0－25127 | c012755 | 00？ 1 ？ | 0012831 | 0025151 | 0012923 | $0025105$ |
| 0581 | （01）${ }^{(1)}$ | $\therefore 020$ | $0 \therefore 1 こ 123$ | 0 Oつ5 c 1 | C013 047 | 00？ 0261 | 0012341 | 0025217 | 0017399 | 0025113 |
| －c－つ | CO13430） | い ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | CO1三405 | costc33 | CO13570 | 0024825 | 0013505 | 0024799 | 0013387 |  |
| Oriz3 | 01825 | － | C015129 | Q0？ 4757 | col3069 | 0024651 | 0012953 | 0024537 | 0012930 | 0024491 |
| 95.34 | 061817 | 9124775 | crizczc | 6024253 | CO12C47 | C024203 | 0012319 | 0024125 | 0012705 | 0024019 |
| 0535 | 0 1 习－40 | －T2043 | वट12 29 | conese | वणनदूव | 002365 | 0012750 | 0073031 | तुा28ा0 | तर24075 |
| 0376 | （61 | 27 | 0012075 | CC？4117 | CC17067 | 0024145 | 0013121 | 0024235 | 0013145 | 0024377 |
| 05 | C01： 18 | 1024473 | C013277 | 0624E33 | 0013259 | 00？ 050 | 0013410 | 0024433 | 0013471 | 0024355 |
| 0538 | O01＝－ 11 | 小24？ ？$^{\text {a }}$ | $01=549$ | c0．4155 | crivas | 00241c7 | 013627 | 0024045 | 0013599 | $00239 \% 1$ |
| 536 | いく13？ |  | $\therefore \times 1=07$ |  | 0017075 | 00？3027 | 0014081 | 0023941 | 0014153 | 0024009 |
| 0540 | ！014 1／： | 0．34C1 | （c14？）3 | 6024155 | 0014375 | 0024219 | 0014435 | 0024303 | 0014533 | 0024347 |
| － 64 | 人）1481 | 1024379 | CC1475\％ | C0MマC1 | C014857 | 00？4\％11 | 0014937 | 0024423 | 0015031 | 0024407 |
| 6942 | － 0150 | 1034401 | $00161: 2$ | $0 \times 24415$ | c0152c7 | 00244？ | 0015373 | 0024467 | 0015451 | 0024407 |
| 2543 | C1 $0 \times 3$ | 11934500 | CClerea | 6034575 | CCI5513 | 003466？ | 0015693 | 0024697 | 0015781 | 00？4720 |
| 05144 | CC1：ロ4！ | 0．0．4．55 | CC1EE77 | Cco4ser | 0016069 | 0024401 | 0015957 | 0034397 | 0016033 | 0024290 |
| 05，45 | $\because 1 \rightarrow 1$ | 1004 | のn1F： 17 | Cos4161 | C016303 | cosar | 0016387 | 0023991 | 0016439 | 0023983 |
| $0^{5}\langle 6$ | C0164 | ○）$=709$ | anlfe3： | （）$=721$ | CC165c1 | 0022557 | 0016637 | 0023579 | 0015637 | 0023507 |
| 96＇t 7 | C61：711 | 072 | CCl大フ日 | CC，$=297$ | CC1A0C5 | 002341 | 0016087 | 0027297 | 0017107 | 0023247 |
| $00^{2} 8$ | C61， | $\because 218$ | $9217=1$ | 002145 | CC17393 | 0023091 | 0017405 | 0023049 | 0017569 | 00？3009 |
| 0569 | C01）6 | －202066 | 00177 ？ |  | 0017780 | 0022075 | ก017831 | 0022873 | 0017887 | 0022775 |
| O550 | ，1，$\square_{1}$ | $\because 30721$ | $C 61=039$ |  | col？cos | 0002611 | 0018153 | 0022547 | O○192マ1 | 0022407 |
| 0501 | 0013： 0 | 习3 44\％ | cтapat | पतगय25 | COtrab | 0n？ 2 マ 0 | 0013459 | 0622207 | 0018447 | 0022105 |
| $055 ?$ | 〇く1：4 \％ | のッつつのマ | $0010=27$ | coplc | CC1P0． | 0021365 | 0018245 | 0021611 | 0019205 | 0021737 |
| 0553 | O61 170 | ¢， | CO1EC7c | 001003 | col0c21 | 00？1559 | $001704 ?$ | 0021513 | 0017803 | 0021417 |
| 2554 | O） $1 \times 2$ | のいつ1＊3 | D）1789 | 021203 | 0017903 | 0021105 | 0017929 | 0021011 | 0017929 | 0030007 |
| 0555 | O1•3） |  | CO：7041 | 0090745 | col 1727 | OOつCEES | 0017909 | 0020575 | 0017877 | 0020515 |
| 0556 | 32181 | 9020427 | Q17605 | 603634 | CC17807 | लо2 กos 1 | 0017307 | 2020151 | 0017807 | 0020055 |
| or．5］ | $\therefore 317011$ | $\because 1$ acos | の○175こ3 | 6016645 | C017333 | On 19857 | 0017801 | 1019763 | 0017767 | 0019693 |
| O－ | （8177：7 | ○16か7 | 001？797 | CC10545 | C017771 | 0018455 | 0017767 | 0019379 | 0017707 | 0019303 |
| 0659 | －61？ | O013－31 | のロ17を年 | COTCIE1 | CO1789 | OClccra | 0017711 | 70190？1 | 0017949 | 001 र刀त？ |
| OS60 | O01 ？¢ | $91000 ?$ | Calccez | CC1日E＝1 | cciel21 | 0019815 | 0019159 | 0019751 | 0018187 | 0019697 |
| 05t 1 | $0<1027$ | © 10811 | O） 1 \＆\％ 2 | colec57 | cole3z1 | 0019503 | 0218397 | 0019401 | 0018395 | 0018301 |
| 0562 | Oの1225．3 | 018？？ | Oロ1ビア1 | वC1E15 | 0015405 | 0018081 | 0019441 | 0019011 | 0018477 | 0017945 |
| 503 | CQi： C ？ | 901766？ | 00165Ez | C017 7 c | cripsra | －01 $77 \rightarrow 7$ | 0013550 | 0017655 | 0019559 | 0017575 |
| 051.4 | 901 ：542 | 917615 | 0010425 | 0017476 | CCIP421 | 0017473 | 0019333 | 0017475 | 0019255 | 0017475 |
| 05.5 | 90：1？ | 1174 | $001611=$ | O）17460 | 0012041 | nn 17507 | 0017959 | 0017533 | 0017873 | 0017539 |
| 0566 | 30173.1 | $0 \cdot 1700$ | 0017760 | C017575 | ก017601 | 0017575 | 0017601 | 0017575 | 0017537 | 0017571 |
| 0587 |  | 0，17471 | ब174以 | त17．75 | 00175． | 0017マC1 | 0017567 | 0117？35 | 017627 | $0 \cap 17177$ |
| t | rol ern | 001717 | C0！ 0757 | 9017131 | n017237 | ती17109 | 0017925 | 0017141 | 0017999 | 0017125 |
| n¢ 0 |  | 017100 | $001=17 \%$ | CCi\％120 | 0012073 | 017177 | 0018365 | 201＞159 | 0019447 | 0017097 |
| 9.76 | 0181 | 917：1 | のヘ1明を？ | 161 | 01965 | 0016700 | 0013551 | 0015709 | On185 | 0 |

FIGURE 3.1

Output: The coordinates of the corner points, the segment lengths and the packed coordinates are printed out. Then follow the packing factor, the allowable plotting error, and the input and output scale denominators. The present version, with simultaneous packing and plotting, plots a copy of the original and packed curves on the 611 oscilloscope. The packed coordinates are stored in arrays XXD and YYD. After packing, the programme at present loops back and repeats the packing procedure with double the tolerance request.

### 3.2 Subroutine REDOUT

Storage: 1220 bytes in single precision.
Subroutines called: None
Calling parameters: $J$ - the number of segment lengths. An arbitrary maximum of 20 has been set. XI, YI - the coordinates of the initial point in this set.

SEG - the array of segment lengths in this set, $J$ in number

XP, YP - the coordinates of the final point
in this set.
EPS - the specified tolerance.
Output: REDOUT is the routine which prints out the coordinates of the corner points and the segment lengths.
3.3 Subroutine UPLOT

Storage: 2012 bytes in single precision
Subroutines called: None

Calling parameters: $M$ - the number of segment lengths
XI, YI - the coordinate of the initial corner points.

S - the array of segment lengths
XN, YN - the coordinates of the next coordinate point.

E - the specified tolerance.

Output: This routine outputs the packed coordinates, and also stores these in arrays $X X D$ and $Y Y D$.
3.4 Subroutines AREA, GRID, SETPLT, NOWPLT, ENDPLT, PRNTCH

These subroutines are university generated routines for plotting. They are detailed in Gujar (1972).
4. TESTS AND COMMENTS

### 4.1 Tests and results

The present version of the programme has been tested in the Department of Surveying Engineering using digitised contour data obtained from the Analytical Plotter AP-2/C. This data is an exact replica of that which would be obtained from an automatically digitising line follower. That is, the action of an automatic digitiser has been simulated in all respects. A sample of the packing factor obtained with the corresponding error tolerances is shown in table 4.l.
Error Tolerance (Micrometres) Packing Factor

| 1 | 1 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 50 | 4.21 |
| 100 | 7.35 |
| 200 | 11.36 |
| 400 | 19.74 |
| 800 | 37.50 |
| 1600 | 75.00 |
| 3200 | 93.75 |
| 6400 | 125.00 |

Table 4.1

For example, for this particular line, if we wanted to plot it at the original scale, but allowed a plotting error of 50 micrometres, we would reduce the necessary storage to about one quarter. What this means in terms of reduction of scale is that if we wanted to reproduce the original line at $1 / 50$ scale, we would only require one quarter of the storage to plot it accurate to one micrometre.

There is, of course, no direct relationship between packing factor and error tolerance. Obviously the greater the allowable error, the greater will be the packing factor obtained from the process. The original and packed curves corresponding to table 4.1 are shown in Appendix A. The ultimate reduction and generalisation is shown by figure A-9 in which the curve becomes a straight line. It should be noted that nowhere is a packed curve further away from the original than the error tolerance, while the stages of cartographic generalisation from exact to approximate are represented by figures $A-1$ to $A-9$. With respect to generalisation it should also be noted that the generalisations still retain the basic characteristics of the original curve. Graph A-9, for example, shows what the curve would look like if reduced by $1 / 6400$ and plotted to micrometre accuracy. (It has, of course, been enlarged in the Appendix)

### 4.2 Restrictions and Comments

The following points about the present procedure should be noted:
a) the procedure will not work for closed loops due to the characteristics of the scaling process mentioned in section 2.9 . The loop must be split into two arc segments.
b) The programme will pack coordinates for curves which are to be reproduced at the same scale. It may be that there are more than enough digitised points on a curve to reproduce it with a given accuracy without reduction. The programme will reduce the number of points to the minimum number required for any given accuracy.
c) The packing factors in table 4.1 are seen to refer to different error tolerances. These can also be thought of as reductions to different scales with the same plotting error. The packing factor is also a function of the smoothness of the curve. The smoother a curve, the greater will be the packing factor, since fewer hyperbolae and segments are needed, that is, the necessary number of parameters are fewer.
d) At present the programme input unit is the 2501 card reader. This is not, of course, mandatory. Generally, digitised data will be on magnetic tape, disk, or paper tape, and appropriate corrections can be made. Ideally the input will be directly on-line, through some device such as the 1827 Data Control Unit.
e) The doubling of the error tolerance in the programmes' present form is purely for testing purposes. Normally the input and output scales, and the error tolerance will be known, and only one packing will be required.
f) The joining together of the packed points should be done by straight lines. The use of curvilinear plotting methods may generate points outside the error tube.
g) Other tests with this programme package were carried out by the Surveys and Mapping Branch, Department of Energy, Mines and Resources in Ottawa using the Branch's automated cartography PDP-10 system, and also the Departments' Univac 1108, and the packing obtained was satisfactory. Modification of the technique to fit such systems is left up to the prospective user.

## APPENDIX A

611 OSCILLOSCOPE PLOTS OF A SAMPLE CURVE

FIGURE A-1
ORIGINAL CURVE


FIGURE A-3
PACKED CURVE, PACKING FACTOR $=4.21$
EgBmar 50 HICROMETRES

$$
\text { GRLD = } 1000 \text { MICROMETRES }
$$



FIGURE A-3
PACKED CURVE, PACKING FACTOR $=7.35$
ERROR $=50$ MICROMETRES
GRID $=1000$ MICROMETRES


FIGURE A-4
PACKED CURVE, PACKING FACTOR $=11.36$
ERROR $=200$ MICROMETRES
GRID = FOOO MICROMETRES


FIGURE A-5
PACKED CURVE, PACKING FACTOR $=19.74$

ERROR $=400$ MICROMETRES
GRID $=1000$ MICROMETRES


FIGURE A-6
PACKED CURVE, PACKING FACTOR $=37.5$
$E R R O R=800$ MICROMETRES
GRID $=1000$ MICROMETRES


FIGURE A-7
PACKED CURVE, PACKING FACTOR $=75.00$
ERROR $=1600$ MICROMETRES
GRID = 1000 micrometres

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

FIGURE A-8
PACKED CURVE, PACKING FACTOR $=93.75$
ERROR $=3200$ MICROMETRES
GRID $=1000$ MICROMETRES


FIGURE A-9
PACKED CURVE, PACKING FACTOR $=125.00$
ERROR $=6400$ MICROMETRES
GRID $=1000$ MICROMETRES



$\because I N G=Y M I N D-2$.
$\therefore A \times G=X M A X D+?$
: OMMAT ( , 2F 20.5 )
cint Inve
わQMAT (2F4.O)
?MAT(5(F7,0,1X,F7,0,1X))
\%:1TE(6,167)
OQMAT(:1.)
T: PLOTTING SEQUFNCF USED ON UNA COMPUTER FLOTTING SYSTEM
$\therefore 1$ AG=1 GIVEG PLGT CF CRIGYNAL CCCFOINATES
TLAG $=$ ? GIVES PLOT GF PACKED COORDINATES
(ML CEVICE (611)
ลnt cridevin
, XNTNG,YMAXG,XMAXG, 1, , 1.
! (IFLAG.E®-I)CALL PFNTCHTG')
IG (IFLAG•NE•I)CALL FRATCH(**)
「: (IFLAG.NE:I)N=IJI
$\therefore \therefore$ GFTPLT(YMIND, XMIND,YNAXD,XMAXD)
I: (IFLAG.NE.1)CALL NOWFLT(O., XX(1),YY(1))
1:(IFI.^G•NE. 1)CALL NCWFLT(1•, XXD(1),YYD(1))
1! (IFIAG.FQ.1)CALL NDWPLT(O., XX(1),YY(1))

if(IFLAG•EO. I)CALL NOWPLT(1.,XX(IJ),YY(IJ))
onitave
! M IF
NCWFLT (1., XX(NC), YY(ND))
(1) FNDPIT

IG TFLAG.NE. 1)GITC 7969
$C$
$C$
$C$
$C$
$C$
$\because V I C E A S S I G N M E N T S A T$ UNB - $\because$ IS THE LTNE PRINTFR
$\therefore$ IS THE CARD READER
$7 C 65 \quad$ ITYFF=6
1 TMO= 5
T TAPO=6
nกกด
CTFS FOR INDIVIDUAL MAP ELENENTS. THE PRFSENT SYSTEM ASSUMES
WT PF OF THE SANF ELEMENT

```
            Tras==1
            taco=1
            <n=1
            1%1=
            1%c=1
```



```
    C
        EOC=ERR
    C FOS IS NUMBER OF DIGITIZER STEPS
        EPST=1.5*EFS
        EnGu=8.0*FRS
        C2%13.615*EPS*EPS
        C1==3.000994NEPS
        C3=?.82*EPS
    C
    C
4 9
    XP=X(1)
    1
        xp=x(1)
    1, x1-Y!1
        x = = %
        1=1
        J=1
            IF(TOEQ.N)IFLAG=
            IF(19.EQ.N)GOTO 183
            00 ? I=1,2
            Dx=x(IE+I)-x(IR+I-1)
            DYY=Y(IA+I)-Y(IA+I-1)
            DS=1, (/SORT (DXX*DXX+DYY*DYY)
2 T^A(:)=0S*DXX
C C TAA IS COS
TA=0, &*(TAA(1)+TAA(2))
    C TA AVERAGE OF }2\mathrm{ COSINES
    C TQ=SO-T(1-TA*TA)
```

```
    C TB CORRESPONDING AVERGE SINE
        Z(ABS(TARMN(NN)-YP)-TE*(X(NN)-XP)).GT.EPSE) GO TO 4
    C ARF DCINTS IN TURE EPSE WIDE
        CONTINUE
    N=N
    4 1: =1
    5 D X X = X (NN -XP
    DYY=Y(NN)-YD
    D=1.0/SQRT(OXX*CXX+DYY*DYY)
        O IS 1/SEGMENT LENGTH
    TX=D*EYY
        TX IS SIN THETA
    TY=0*DXX
        ty IS cos theta
    DO }5=IB,N
        ONA=I-TE+1
    IF:ABSTTX*(X(I)-XP)-TY*(Y(I)-YP)).GT.EPS) GO TO 16
        CHECK RIGOROUSLY IE POINTS IN TUEE EPS WIDE
    cmmtinue
    IF(NN.GE:N) GO TO ZO
    If(1.EO.1) GO TC 18
        H(ABS(ST*ONN).LE.1.0) GC TO 30
    ST:-0.5*ST
    L=-1/F(ABS(ST*DNN).LE.1) GO TC 17
    NH=AN+IFIX(ST*DNN)
    I:(NN.LE.N)GO TO 14
        OTH=N
        NN=NN+L
            HF(NN.GE.IE) GOTO 5
```

```
        #
        \because(1),0.1) GO in 19
        G:TO 1%
        SG(J)=1.01D
        M(IN.LT.N) GO TOT
C ORIIONAL FRINTCUT CF GRIGINAL COORDINATES
C ra 362I=1,N
c,3 (%G2T(I=1,N
gez rantiNue
C CALL REDOUT(J,X1,Y1,SEG,X(N),Y(N),EFS)
C UMIOT CALLED TO REDUCE PACKED DATA
    ~AM LPLDT(J,X1,Y1,SEG,X(N),Y(N),EFS)
    , -* IO(O.EQ.N)GOTO 18
            ISCOF=ISCO-ISCCF
    c
    c ITVO=
```



```
C
    R(NA IN),RFPLACE THE TWO FGLLOWING CARDS WITH ONE CARD --STOP
    1H.AG=2
    GO TO 183
    1OMNN+1
    xC=xF
    YM=YF
    Yn:Y(NN)
    T1*O*(XP-XPP)
C
    COS OF LINE SEGMENT
    T2-n*(YP-YPP)
    GIN OF LINE SFGNENT
```

$c$

```
\)10 I=IR,N
```

    CHECK POINTS PEYONC SEGNENT
    \(\cap \times(I)=T 1 *(X(I)-X P)+T 2 *(Y(I)-Y D)\)
    ox disolacement in line oirectitin
    \(\operatorname{BY}(I)=T 1 *(Y(I)-Y P)-T 2 *(X(I)-X P)\)
    $c$
$c$
$c$
DY DISPLACENENT IN DIRECTICN NORNAL TC LINE
t $\because(D X(I) . L E \cdot 0.0) ~ G C T E G$
IF LINE TURNS EACK PAST FIRST PCINT THEN CORNER POINT
I: $(A \cap S(D Y(1)) *(D X(I)+C 3)-(C .1 * D X(I)+C 2) \cdot G T .0 .0)$ GO TO 11
CHFCK IF DY STILL WITHIN HYFERECLA
$\stackrel{C}{C}$
$\stackrel{c}{c}$
CONTINUE
GO TO 9
$C 11=S I G N(C 1, D Y(I))$
ra=SIGN(CZ.DY(I))
CII,C2A SIGNS SAME AS DY
$\Gamma \times x=D \times(I)-D X(I-1) \quad$ -
DDX DIFFERENCE EETWEFN LAST 2DX'S
! ( 1 (1s (DDX).GT.2.OE-8) GD TO 1 ?
IS HYPERBOLA CUT EY NEAFLY NORMAL LINE TOAXIS
() $\times 1=0 \times(1)$
(\% T0 13
$A:=(D Y(I)-D Y(T-1)) / D O X$
AL IS SLOPF OF LAST TWC PCINTS
$0-O Y(I)-A L$ ※DX(I)
$\because C=C 19 * C Z-C 2 F$
$\because x \rightarrow 9=C x(1)$
Y $1=1$ ) $(1-1)$
$\because 1=(C 113 * D \times B B+C 2 B) /(D \times B B+C 3)$
$\because v_{1}=c \times 3 p+C 3$
$\mathrm{ON}=\mathrm{C}=3 * D \mathrm{FA} 1$
$\therefore \times I R=0 \times I$


$\times I=(C-C E) /(A L E-A L)$
IF (ADS(DXI-DXIB) CT.O. $5 * D E L T A)$ GC TO $31^{\circ}$
$\because T=(C 1 日 * D \times I+C 2 \theta) /(0 \times I+C .3)$
$\mu=S G E T\left(\Gamma X I * D X_{0} T+C Y I * D Y I\right)$
MODYI/SEM
$Y=1 \times 1 /$ SFM
$11=1-1$
) $15 \mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{IA}, \mathrm{I}$
$\because(A D S(T X * D X(L)-T Y * D Y(L))$ GT.FES) GO TC
(ABS(TX*DX(L)-TY*DY(L)).GT•EFS) GC TG
COMTINUE
$\because:=T$
$1: 3+1$
$\because G(J)=S I G N(S E M, D Y I)$
$\therefore \therefore a=X E$
$\because H=Y F$
$=1.0 / 5 E M$
$\therefore O X I=D X I-D X(I)$
$\because \because Y I=D Y I-D Y(I)$
$\therefore=X(\mathrm{I})+\mathrm{T} 1 * \cap D X I-T 2 * D D Y I$
$\cdots=Y(I)+T 1 * D D Y I+T 2 * D D X I$
(, $\rightarrow$ TO $\Omega$
C.ALL REDOUT $J, X 1, Y 1, S E G, X P, Y P, F F S)$
9
9
$c$
$C$
$C$
$C$
$C$
UI OT CALLED TO RFCUCF PACKED DATA
$\because N-L \operatorname{LPLOT}(J, X 1, Y 1, S E G, X P, Y P, F F S)$
(IB•FQ•N) IFLAG=?

OLTPUT PLDCK OF REDUCFD DATA
$C$
$C$
$C$
(:. TO 1

```
TSGE IFLAG=1
C. CALCULATION OF PACKING FACTOR,AND PRINTOUT OF ERRRRS AND SCALFS
    FACTF=FLDAT(ND)/(FLOAT(IJI)+2.0)
```



```
    XERR=ERR/DRAT
    XERR=ERR/DRAT XERR
5434. FORMAT(:,2OX,'ERROR AT FEDUCED SCALE IN MICRONS = ,F20.10)
```



```
        WRITE(6,5433)EFR
        WRITE (6,5435)ISD,IOSD
5435 FORMAT(;,INPUT DENCNINATOP = ,I10," OUTPUT DENOMINATOR = ',
    * (10)
C THIS SECTICN DOUBLES THE ERRCR TURE AND REPEATS THE PACKING PROGEDURH
C ERR=ERR%?
        I JI=0
        IB=N-1
        N=ND
C
4C GO TO 7969
4C FNFOFMAT(, ,,2X,E(5X,I4),5X,FR,2)
```

```
:'UOOUTINE RFDOUT(J,X1,Y1,SFG,XD,YP,EPS)
    OOOLT.OUTPUTS RESULTS OF DATRFD CNTEMTAFO AFTER RFDUCTION
        J NUMAFP DF SEGNENTS IN THIS RFCOPD
        * Y, INITIAL PCINT IN THIS FECOIDD
        GGG ARRAY OF SFGNENT LFNGTHS.O.J OF THEM
        R,YP FINAL POINT IN THIS RECORD
        EPS TOLERANCE SPECIFIED FOR THIS REDUCTION
    #:UENSION SEG(J),ISSEG(20)
        \becauseVALENCE OUTPUTS TO INTEGEFS
    MON/INOLT/ITP,ITAP,ITAPC
    \becauseMMO/FFEAT/ICDE,ISUBCD,ISCI,ISCO,ISEG
    ! MPO=6
    IF INTERMEDIATE' STCRAGE OF DATA REQUIRED. CHANGF UNIT NUMGER
    ICOF,ISURCD ARE CODE AND SUECCDE CF FEATURE
    NLMBFR OF SEGNENTS IN THIS RECORD
    ISCC DUTPUT RECCRD NUMBER
    I(ISCO .GT. -1)GO TC 1
    5rGG=0
    ISEG NUMPER OF SEGMENT LENGTHS OUTPUT PER FEATURE
    * < 1 = X 1+. 5
    Y: =Y 1+.5
    INITIAL POINT MADE AN INTEGER
    ITE(ITAPC,40)IXI,IY1,ICDE, ISUECO
    1:MS=EPS+.5
    FFT ERROR AS INTEGER
    UNTE(ITAPO,41)IEFS
        IF FIRST RECORD CF FEATURE WRITE FIRST FOTNT XI,YI AND EPS
    1YO=XP+.5
    \YO=YF+.5
```

```
C. UARE TNTEGERS CF FINAL PCINTS
MITF(ITAPO,40)IXP,IYP,ISCC,J
C WITE FINAL POINT XP,YP RECORD ISCO AND NO SEG LENGTHS J
    CO=ISCO+1) RETURA
    M:JOBEO& 1, 
    OEG(I)=SEG(I)+.5
100 c.vTINUE
    HANGE SFGMENT LENGTHS TO INTEGERS
THG=ISFG+J,42)(ISSEG(I),I=1,J)
C \ URITE J SEGMENT LENGTHS
40 F:%rif(, v,216,214)
```



```
42 FOWMA(', ,2OX,'SEGMENT LENGTHS AFE', 20X/12016)
    ENH
```

```
C C
    H:INSIONS(20),GX(22),GY(22)
        ONNGN/INOUT/ITYF,ITAF,ITAPO
        CMOON/DAVE/XXD(5ODO),YYD(5000),1J!
        UATA STI/1.00OO/
C
#(" .LE. O) RETURA
C U IF O FOR DUMMY CALL
M:(M.GE.2) GC TC. 2OO
C
        *Y=- XN*STI
    vOTPE(6,199)EX,EY
    I:=IJI+1
```



```
C S*AIMr FOR UNE FLCTTING FURDOSES ONLY
```



```
159 FUIUPN
C
C
2cc (,)(1)=0.0
    GN(1)=0.0
    G:(O)=0.0
    C:1=8.000004%5
    Cの:=13.615*F*F
    C)}
    COEFFS OF HYFEFECLA
```

$\mathrm{AO}=\mathrm{COD*C口?}$
$\mathrm{A1=2*} \mathrm{CO} 1 * \mathrm{CO}$
A？$=\mathrm{CDI}+\mathrm{CO}$
$V=\mathrm{V}$ SORT（F゙LOAT（N）
$\therefore \therefore O I=2, N$
$\therefore 5=5(I) * 5(1)$
ST＝nas（S（1））
$30=C .03 * C .03 * 51$
$01=2 * C 03 * 5 I+(03 * C 03$
$\mathrm{r} 2=\hat{2} \mathrm{x} 03+5 \mathrm{~S}$
$\therefore-A B S(5(1))$
$\square 2=((A 2 * x)+A 1) * x+A 0$
$03=((x+e 7) * x+81) * x+80$
$X=S I-P ? / P 3$
$y=(\cos * x+C 02) /(x+\cos )$
［F（ABS（X＊X＋Y＊Y－SS）•GT•AES（S（I）＊V））GOTC 21
$y=\operatorname{sort}(s s-x * X$
$\because=S I G N(Y+S(I))$
$\therefore \quad$ ： $1=(G \times(1)-6 \times(1-1)) / A E S(S(T-1))$
C $\quad \because=(G Y(I)-G Y(I-1)) / A P S(S(I-1))$ SOSEAT ANGLE REL TO AXIS
$x(T+i)=\sigma x(T)+T 1 * x-T 卫 * Y$
$(\forall(I+1)=G Y(I)+T 2 * x+T 1 * y$
$G X, G Y$ FFL COORDS OF END PT CF LINF SEG
$M M=N+1$
$=1 \cdot 0 /(G X(N M) * G X(N N)+G Y(M N) * G Y(M M))$
（i＝（GY（MM）＊（YN－YI）＋GX（NM）＊（XN－XI））＊D
$(2=(-G Y(M M) \div(X N-X I)+G X(M M) *(Y N-Y J)) * D$
EVERYTHINT EXPRESSED IN DIGITIZER STEPS
） $111 \mathrm{I}=2, \mathrm{NM}$
c．$X=(X I+C 1 * G X(I)-C 2 * G Y(I))$ 末 $S T$
$\because Y=(Y I+C 2 * G X(I)+C 1 * G Y(I)) * S T I$
COMFUTE END FOINTS FQR EACH LINE SFGMENT
－ITF（6，199）EX，EY
$!J I=I J I+1$
$\times X D(I J I)=E Y / 1000$.
$Y \because 0(I J I)=F X / 1000$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{2}{2} \\
& \text { PURPDSES } \\
& \text { PURDOSES } \\
& \text { TTING } \\
& \xrightarrow{4} \\
& \text { UNB }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{r}
4 \\
4
\end{array} \\
& \text { L } \\
& \text { © } a^{-2 z}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { uU UJ }
\end{aligned}
$$
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